Fall	2019	
Inst	itutional	Data

Institutional Data	Percent Instructional Rating							
Number of students = 5024	Strongly	ъ.	Ni. dad		Strongly	Not	Total	
My interest in the subject increased	Disagree 5.39%	Disagree 4.66%	Neutral 15.61%	Agree 31.71%	Agree 39.55%	Answered 3.09%	Total 100%	
I learned/understood the subject	3.33/0	4.00%	13.01/0	31./1/0	33.33/0	3.09/0	100%	
matters	3.48%	3.30%	11.41%	36.70%	41.50%	3.60%	100%	
Instructor appeared sincere about	3.40/0	3.30/0	11.41/0	30.7070	41.50%	3.00%	10076	
teaching	3.28%	2.07%	9.28%	27.43%	53.84%	4.10%	100%	
Instructor's style of teaching held	3.2070	2.0770	J.2070	27.43/0	JJ.04/0	4.10/0	10070	
my interest	5.79%	5.45%	13.24%	28.40%	43.21%	3.90%	100%	
The instructor used an electronic	3.7370	J. 4 J/0	13.2470	20.4070	75.21/0	3.3070	10070	
medium to present course material	4.74%	5.29%	11.90%	32.86%	41.10%	4.10%	100%	
The course format was appropriate	7.7 770	3.2370	11.5070	32.0070	41.10/0	4.10/0	10070	
for course content	2.19%	2.49%	9.51%	34.73%	46.74%	4.34%	100%	
Instructor presented the course	0,,	,	0.02/0	0, 0, 0			200,0	
material clearly and logically	3.62%	4.40%	10.39%	30.20%	46.64%	4.76%	100%	
Instructor implemented the course								
objectives and semester plan as								
outlined in the course syllabus	2.21%	2.35%	8.64%	32.72%	49.08%	5.00%	100%	
Class discussion was encouraged by								
the instructor	1.97%	2.55%	10.03%	28.90%	51.81%	4.74%	100%	
Instructor welcomed students								
seeking help / advice	3.03%	2.79%	9.65%	28.44%	50.80%	5.29%	100%	
Instructor was available to students								
during posted office hours or by								
appointment	1.95%	2.13%	14.45%	29.68%	46.28%	5.51%	100%	
Instructor related the course to								
other fields and/or to life/career								
situations as appropriate	2.33%	3.07%	10.75%	30.83%	47.89%	5.14%	100%	
Instructor gave different point of								
view	2.45%	3.76%	13.81%	31.47%	42.81%	5.69%	100%	
Instructor's course assignments	/							
were clear and precise	3.40%	3.92%	9.83%	30.75%	46.44%	5.65%	100%	
Instructor's examination questions								
and graded assignments were	4 (50/	4.450/	0.440/	22.020/	40.000/	F F70/	1000/	
related to course content	1.65%	1.45%	8.44%	33.02%	49.86%	5.57%	100%	
Instructor's course examinations/projects were graded								
and returned in a timely manner	2 05%	2 050/	0.070/	21 010/	46.86%	5.47%	100%	
Instructor used class time	3.05%	2.85%	9.97%	31.81%	40.60%	5.47%	100%	
effectively	2.03%	1.99%	10.01%	29.66%	50.50%	5.81%	100%	
Instructor was punctual in meeting	2.0370	1.55/0	10.01/0	23.00%	30.3070	3.01/0	10070	
and dismissing classes	1.73%	2.09%	13.12%	28.44%	48.25%	6.37%	100%	
Instructor treated students with	1.7570	2.05/0	13.12/0	20.4470	40.2570	0.5770	10070	
respect	1.89%	1.43%	8.10%	28.13%	54.32%	6.13%	100%	
Instructor expected a high level of	2.0075		0.2075		002/0	0.12070	200,0	
academic excellence from students	1.15%	1.11%	8.36%	28.80%	54.44%	6.13%	100%	
Overall, the Instructor was a good								
teacher	3.24%	2.57%	8.66%	23.59%	55.91%	6.03%	100%	

College of Business			Percent I	nstruction	al Rating		
Number of students = 555	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Not Answered	Total
My interest in the subject increased	7.57%	5.05%	16.40%	33.51%	35.50%	1.98%	100%
I learned/understood the subject matters Instructor appeared sincere about	5.23%	3.96%	13.33%	39.10%	36.40%	1.98%	100%
teaching Instructor's style of teaching held my	3.60%	1.26%	8.83%	29.01%	54.59%	2.70%	100%
interest	6.49%	5.95%	16.04%	31.35%	38.02%	2.16%	100%
The instructor used an electronic medium to present course material	4.50%	4.68%	10.45%	37.66%	39.82%	2.88%	100%
The course format was appropriate for course content	3.24%	2.88%	8.47%	37.66%	45.23%	2.52%	100%
Instructor presented the course material clearly and logically	4.14%	5.23%	9.91%	33.69%	43.78%	3.24%	100%
Instructor implemented the course objectives and semester plan as outlined							
in the course syllabus Class discussion was encouraged by the	2.34%	1.98%	7.21%	36.22%	47.57%	4.68%	100%
instructor	2.70%	3.06%	11.17%	31.35%	47.57%	4.14%	100%
Instructor welcomed students seeking help/advice	3.06%	3.24%	10.09%	32.97%	46.13%	4.50%	100%
Instructor was available to students during posted office hours or by appointment	1.98%	2.16%	12.43%	35.14%	42.88%	5.41%	100%
Instructor related the course to other fields and/or to life/career situations as	1.50/0	2.10%	12.43/0	33.14%	42.00/0	5.41%	100%
appropriate	2.34%	2.88%	10.09%	34.05%	45.59%	5.05%	100%
Instructor gave different point of view	2.34%	3.24%	14.05%	34.41%	41.08%	4.86%	100%
Instructor's course assignments were clear and precise	3.24%	3.42%	9.91%	33.33%	45.59%	4.50%	100%
Instructor's examination questions and graded assignments were related to							
course content Instructor's course examinations/projects	1.80%	1.08%	7.03%	35.86%	49.55%	4.68%	100%
were graded and returned in a timely	/						
manner	2.52%	2.34%	7.75%	36.04%	47.21%	4.14%	100%
Instructor used class time effectively	1.98%	1.44%	8.65%	32.07%	51.17%	4.68%	100%
Instructor was punctual in meeting and dismissing classes	1.26%	1.98%	8.47%	31.53%	51.89%	4.86%	100%
Instructor treated students with respect	2.16%	0.72%	7.39%	31.33%	52.79%	5.77%	100%
Instructor expected a high level of	2.20/0	0.7.270		32.2770	52.7579	3.7770	20070
academic excellence from students	2.16%	0.54%	9.55%	31.35%	51.53%	4.86%	100%
Overall, the Instructor was a good teacher	3.60%	2.16%	8.83%	27.39%	53.33%	4.68%	100%

College of Education			Percent I	nstruction	al Rating		
Number of students = 926	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Not Answered	Total
My interest in the subject increased	4.10%	1.84%	9.40%	34.56%	46.87%	3.24%	100%
I learned/understood the subject matters Instructor appeared sincere about	2.16%	1.62%	6.26%	36.18%	50.32%	3.46%	100%
teaching Instructor's style of teaching held my	2.27%	2.05%	5.83%	27.32%	58.42%	4.10%	100%
interest	3.56%	2.70%	9.29%	29.70%	50.97%	3.78%	100%
The instructor used an electronic medium to present course material	2.38%	4.32%	10.15%	33.59%	45.14%	4.43%	100%
The course format was appropriate for course content	1.08%	1.30%	7.02%	33.91%	52.05%	4.64%	100%
Instructor presented the course material clearly and logically	2.59%	2.81%	7.34%	29.59%	52.70%	4.97%	100%
Instructor implemented the course objectives and semester plan as outlined in the course syllabus	1.94%	1.94%	5.83%	30.78%	54.86%	4.64%	100%
Class discussion was encouraged by the instructor	0.97%	1.19%	5.29%	27.11%	60.58%	4.86%	100%
Instructor welcomed students seeking help/advice	2.16%	2.70%	6.91%	26.24%	57.02%	4.97%	100%
Instructor was available to students during posted office hours or by							
appointment Instructor related the course to other fields and/or to life/career situations as	2.05%	2.48%	11.56%	28.19%	50.00%	5.72%	100%
appropriate	1.40%	1.40%	7.24%	28.94%	56.26%	4.75%	100%
Instructor gave different point of view	1.40%	2.16%	10.80%	30.89%	48.38%	6.37%	100%
Instructor's course assignments were clear and precise	3.35%	2.48%	6.05%	28.83%	53.24%	6.05%	100%
Instructor's examination questions and graded assignments were related to							
course content Instructor's course examinations/projects	1.30%	0.86%	5.72%	31.97%	54.75%	5.40%	100%
were graded and returned in a timely manner	3.46%	1.51%	7.56%	30.24%	51.51%	5.72%	100%
Instructor used class time effectively	1.84%	1.19%	10.15%	27.43%	53.13%	6.26%	100%
Instructor was punctual in meeting and	2.0 170	2.2370	10.1370	27.1070	33.1370	0.2070	10070
dismissing classes	0.65%	1.62%	14.90%	24.62%	50.97%	7.24%	100%
Instructor treated students with respect	1.19%	0.97%	4.10%	27.86%	59.50%	6.37%	100%
Instructor expected a high level of academic excellence from students	0.54%	0.43%	5.29%	26.46%	60.80%	6.48%	100%
Overall, the Instructor was a good teacher	2.27%	1.73%	5.83%	21.27%	63.17%	5.72%	100%

College of Health Service	Percent Instructional Rating							
Number of students = 821	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Not Answered	Total	
My interest in the subject increased	3.90%	2.31%	8.77%	28.87%	54.32%	1.83%	100%	
I learned/understood the subject matters Instructor appeared sincere about	2.80%	2.31%	7.55%	33.86%	51.40%	2.07%	100%	
teaching Instructor's style of teaching held my	3.29%	1.10%	7.67%	23.14%	61.88%	2.92%	100%	
interest	5.60%	3.90%	9.50%	25.94%	52.25%	2.80%	100%	
The instructor used an electronic medium to present course material	2.19%	3.05%	7.67%	34.10%	50.43%	2.56%	100%	
The course format was appropriate for course content	1.71%	2.44%	5.97%	30.57%	57.00%	2.31%	100%	
Instructor presented the course material clearly and logically	4.26%	3.05%	7.92%	27.28%	54.20%	3.29%	100%	
Instructor implemented the course objectives and semester plan as outlined								
in the course syllabus	2.31%	1.22%	6.21%	29.60%	57.37%	3.29%	100%	
Class discussion was encouraged by the instructor	1.58%	0.73%	5.85%	25.21%	63.58%	3.05%	100%	
Instructor welcomed students seeking help/advice Instructor was available to students	2.56%	1.83%	6.82%	24.24%	60.78%	3.78%	100%	
during posted office hours or by appointment Instructor related the course to other	1.22%	0.49%	10.35%	26.67%	57.98%	3.29%	100%	
fields and/or to life/career situations as appropriate	2.07%	0.85%	5.97%	27.53%	60.54%	3.05%	100%	
Instructor gave different point of view	1.83%	2.44%	9.50%	29.23%	53.71%	3.29%	100%	
Instructor's course assignments were clear								
and precise Instructor's examination questions and graded assignments were related to	3.05%	4.26%	9.26%	27.77%	52.25%	3.41%	100%	
course content Instructor's course examinations/projects were graded and returned in a timely	1.10%	1.34%	7.19%	28.99%	58.22%	3.17%	100%	
manner	2.68%	3.17%	9.01%	26.92%	54.69%	3.53%	100%	
Instructor used class time effectively	1.71%	1.71%	8.28%	27.41%	57.13%	3.78%	100%	
Instructor was punctual in meeting and								
dismissing classes	1.34%	0.97%	10.48%	28.75%	54.20%	4.26%	100%	
Instructor treated students with respect	1.95%	1.22%	6.46%	23.39%	63.58%	3.41%	100%	
Instructor expected a high level of academic excellence from students	0.97%	0.73%	4.75%	24.36%	64.43%	4.75%	100%	
Overall, the Instructor was a good teacher	2.80%	2.31%	6.09%	21.19%	63.82%	3.78%	100%	

College of Liberal Arts	Percent Instructional Rating							
Number of students = 1234	Strongly		· Crociic II		Strongly	Not		
Number of students – 1254	Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Agree	Answered	Total	
My interest in the subject increased	6.48%	6.16%	20.75%	28.61%	34.28%	3.73%	100%	
I learned/understood the subject matters	4.54%	3.57%	13.70%	38.17%	36.06%	3.97%	100%	
Instructor appeared sincere about								
teaching	5.02%	3.00%	11.26%	27.47%	49.27%	3.97%	100%	
Instructor's style of teaching held my								
interest	8.02%	6.40%	15.15%	27.23%	38.65%	4.54%	100%	
The instructor used an electronic medium								
to present course material	8.75%	7.94%	14.51%	28.69%	35.90%	4.21%	100%	
The course format was appropriate for								
course content	3.40%	3.40%	11.99%	34.44%	41.82%	4.94%	100%	
Instructor presented the course material								
clearly and logically	4.86%	5.92%	13.45%	28.77%	42.30%	4.70%	100%	
, , ,								
Instructor implemented the course objectives and semester plan as outlined								
in the course syllabus	3.57%	3.48%	10.78%	33.06%	44.33%	4.78%	100%	
•	3.3770	J. 4 0/0	10.7070	33.0070	44.5570	4.7070	10070	
Class discussion was encouraged by the	2 (70/	2.000/	11 010/	20.250/	40.400/	4.700/	1000/	
instructor	2.67%	3.00%	11.91%	29.25%	48.46%	4.70%	100%	
Instructor welcomed students seeking								
help/advice	4.78%	3.73%	11.67%	29.01%	45.22%	5.59%	100%	
Instructor was available to students								
during posted office hours or by	2.400/	2 500/	10 560/	20.000/	20.05%	F F10/	1000/	
appointment Instructor related the course to other	3.40%	2.59%	18.56%	29.98%	39.95%	5.51%	100%	
fields and/or to life/career situations as								
appropriate	3.65%	4.29%	13.37%	30.96%	42.46%	5.27%	100%	
• • •	3.97%	5.51%	15.56%	30.15%	39.06%	5.75%	100%	
Instructor gave different point of view	3.9770	5.51%	15.50%	30.13%	39.00%	3.73%	100%	
Instructor's course assignments were clear	E 420/	E 670/	44.670/	20.000/	44 570/	F 750/	4000/	
and precise	5.43%	5.67%	11.6/%	29.90%	41.5/%	5.75%	100%	
Instructor's examination questions and								
graded assignments were related to course content	2.76%	2.11%	10.13%	33.31%	46.03%	5.67%	100%	
Instructor's course examinations/projects	2.70/0	2.11/0	10.13/0	33.31/0	40.03/0	3.07/0	100%	
were graded and returned in a timely								
manner	5.35%	4.54%	11.51%	32.17%	41.09%	5.35%	100%	
Instructor used class time effectively	3.16%	3.24%	12.16%	29.58%	46.19%	5.67%	100%	
	3.10/0	3.24/0	12.10/0	25.50/0	40.1370	3.0770	10070	
Instructor was punctual in meeting and	2 570/	2 169/	15 720/	37 FE9/	42 270/	6 720/	100%	
dismissing classes	3.57%	3.16%	15.72%	27.55%	43.27%	6.73%		
Instructor treated students with respect	3.24%	2.35%	11.02%	26.99%	49.92%	6.48%	100%	
Instructor expected a high level of								
academic excellence from students	2.03%	2.03%	10.78%	29.34%	49.51%	6.32%	100%	
Overall, the Instructor was a good teacher	5.11%	3.73%	10.62%	23.10%	51.38%	6.08%	100%	

Percent Instructional Rating

College of Science, Engineering & Technology

Strongly Strongly Not Number of students = 908 Agree Disagree Disagree Neutral **Answered Total** Agree 5.84% 7.27% 17.18% 33.26% 32.82% 3.63% 100% My interest in the subject increased I learned/understood the subject matters 3.96% 5.95% 14.21% 37.56% 33.59% 4.74% 100% Instructor appeared sincere about 9.80% teaching 2.75% 2.97% 29.74% 49.34% 5.40% 100% Instructor's style of teaching held my interest 7.27% 9.14% 14.98% 28.96% 35.13% 4.52% 100% The instructor used an electronic medium to present course material 4.85% 6.06% 11.56% 31.39% 41.08% 5.07% 100% The course format was appropriate for 10.24% course content 2.31% 3.19% 35.02% 43.94% 5.29% 100% Instructor presented the course material clearly and logically 3.96% 6.50% 11.23% 29.96% 100% 42.84% 5.51% Instructor implemented the course objectives and semester plan as outlined 1.43% 2.86% 9.80% 33.70% 100% in the course syllabus 46.48% 5.73% Class discussion was encouraged by the 2.86% 4.85% 14.54% 29.85% 5.29% 100% instructor 42.62% Instructor welcomed students seeking help/advice 2.97% 2.31% 12.22% 29.19% 47.25% 6.06% 100% Instructor was available to students during posted office hours or by appointment 1.54% 3.19% 17.84% 27.31% 43.72% 6.39% 100% Instructor related the course to other fields and/or to life/career situations as 2.97% 5.84% 14.10% 30.51% 40.20% 6.39% 100% appropriate Instructor gave different point of view 3.30% 5.07% 17.62% 31.83% 35.68% 6.50% 100% Instructor's course assignments were clear and precise 2.97% 4.41% 10.46% 31.94% 43.06% 7.16% 100% Instructor's examination questions and graded assignments were related to course content 1.65% 1.54% 9.03% 34.47% 46.59% 6.72% 100% Instructor's course examinations/projects were graded and returned in a timely 1.65% 2.64% 10.46% 32.27% 46.26% 6.72% 100% manner Instructor used class time effectively 1.87% 2.42% 8.59% 29.85% 50.22% 7.05% 100% Instructor was punctual in meeting and 1.54% 2.09% 11.89% 29.41% 48.02% 7.05% 100% dismissing classes Instructor treated students with respect 1.43% 1.10% 8.26% 29.52% 52.31% 7.38% 100% Instructor expected a high level of academic excellence from students 0.66% 1.65% 9.03% 31.06% 6.83% 100% 50.77% Overall, the Instructor was a good teacher 3.19% 24.12% 50.88% 8.04% 100% 3.52% 10.24%

Division of Graduate Studies		Percent Instructional Rating						
Number of students = 49	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Not Answered	Total	
My interest in the subject increased	4.08%	0.00%	16.33%	8.16%	48.98%	22.45%	100%	
I learned/understood the subject matters Instructor appeared sincere about	0.00%	0.00%	18.37%	10.20%	42.86%	28.57%	100%	
teaching Instructor's style of teaching held my	0.00%	0.00%	14.29%	10.20%	42.86%	32.65%	100%	
interest	0.00%	0.00%	16.33%	12.24%	40.82%	30.61%	100%	
The instructor used an electronic medium to present course material	2.04%	0.00%	16.33%	8.16%	42.86%	30.61%	100%	
The course format was appropriate for course content	0.00%	0.00%	18.37%	8.16%	42.86%	30.61%	100%	
Instructor presented the course material clearly and logically	0.00%	0.00%	16.33%	6.12%	44.90%	32.65%	100%	
Instructor implemented the course objectives and semester plan as outlined								
in the course syllabus Class discussion was encouraged by the	0.00%	0.00%	18.37%	6.12%	42.86%	32.65%	100%	
instructor	0.00%	0.00%	18.37%	8.16%	42.86%	30.61%	100%	
Instructor welcomed students seeking help/advice	0.00%	0.00%	16.33%	10.20%	42.86%	30.61%	100%	
Instructor was available to students during posted office hours or by appointment	0.00%	0.00%	14.29%	12.24%	40.82%	32.65%	100%	
Instructor related the course to other fields and/or to life/career situations as	0.00%	0.00%	14.23/0	12.24/0	40.0270	32.0370	10070	
appropriate	0.00%	0.00%	16.33%	10.20%	42.86%	30.61%	100%	
Instructor gave different point of view	0.00%	0.00%	16.33%	14.29%	38.78%	30.61%	100%	
Instructor's course assignments were clear and precise	0.00%	0.00%	14.29%	10.20%	42.86%	32.65%	100%	
Instructor's examination questions and graded assignments were related to								
course content Instructor's course examinations/projects	0.00%	0.00%	16.33%	6.12%	42.86%	34.69%	100%	
were graded and returned in a timely	0.000/	0.000/	40.270/	C 130/	42.000/	22.650/	1000/	
manner Instructor used class time effectively	0.00% 0.00%	0.00% 0.00%	18.37% 16.33%	6.12% 8.16%	42.86% 40.82%	32.65% 34.69%	100% 100%	
Instructor was punctual in meeting and	0.00%	0.00%	10.55%	0.10/0	40.02/0	34.05/0	100%	
dismissing classes	0.00%	0.00%	16.33%	8.16%	40.82%	34.69%	100%	
Instructor treated students with respect	0.00%	0.00%	18.37%	8.16%	38.78%	34.69%	100%	
Instructor expected a high level of academic excellence from students	0.00%	0.00%	14.29%	8.16%	42.86%	34.69%	100%	
Overall, the Instructor was a good teacher	0.00%	0.00%	14.29%	10.20%	38.78%	36.73%	100%	

Division of Undergraduate Studies

Studies	Percent Instructional Rating						
Number of students = 531	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Not Answered	Total
My interest in the subject increased	4.52%	5.27%	21.47%	35.97%	31.07%	1.69%	100%
I learned/understood the subject matters Instructor appeared sincere about	2.07%	2.26%	13.56%	37.10%	42.18%	2.82%	100%
teaching Instructor's style of teaching held my	1.88%	0.94%	12.24%	30.13%	51.98%	2.82%	100%
interest	2.07%	4.14%	15.25%	30.13%	45.76%	2.64%	100%
The instructor used an electronic medium to present course material	3.77%	4.14%	17.14%	39.17%	32.96%	2.82%	100%
The course format was appropriate for course content	0.94%	1.13%	12.62%	42.18%	39.74%	3.39%	100%
Instructor presented the course material clearly and logically	0.75%	1.69%	10.92%	38.04%	44.07%	4.52%	100%
Instructor implemented the course objectives and semester plan as outlined							
in the course syllabus	0.75%	1.88%	10.92%	37.29%	43.88%	5.27%	100%
Class discussion was encouraged by the instructor	0.56%	2.45%	10.73%	34.65%	47.08%	4.52%	100%
Instructor welcomed students seeking help/advice Instructor was available to students	1.51%	2.82%	8.66%	33.15%	49.15%	4.71%	100%
during posted office hours or by appointment	0.38%	1.32%	12.62%	36.16%	44.82%	4.71%	100%
Instructor related the course to other fields and/or to life/career situations as	0.3070	1.3270	12.0270	30.1070	44.02/0	4.7170	10070
appropriate	0.38%	2.26%	12.62%	38.04%	42.37%	4.33%	100%
Instructor gave different point of view	0.56%	3.20%	14.69%	36.91%	39.36%	5.27%	100%
Instructor's course assignments were clear and precise	0.56%	1.88%	11.49%	37.85%	43.88%	4.33%	100%
Instructor's examination questions and graded assignments were related to							
course content Instructor's course examinations/projects	0.56%	1.51%	10.92%	37.48%	43.88%	5.65%	100%
were graded and returned in a timely manner	0.75%	1.88%	12.81%	38.42%	41.05%	5.08%	100%
Instructor used class time effectively	0.75%	0.94%	10.73%	36.35%	46.33%	4.90%	100%
Instructor was punctual in meeting and							
dismissing classes	0.94%	2.45%	14.69%	33.71%	43.13%	5.08%	100%
Instructor treated students with respect	0.56%	1.88%	10.36%	34.84%	47.65%	4.71%	100%
Instructor expected a high level of academic excellence from students	0.38%	0.56%	10.73%	33.90%	49.72%	4.71%	100%
Overall, the Instructor was a good teacher	0.75%	1.32%	9.60%	28.81%	54.43%	5.08%	100%
•							