Institutional Data			Percent	Instructio	nal Rating		
N = 3795 Items	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Not Answered	Total
My interest in the subject increased	5.59%	5.06%	14.44%	30.12%	41.61%	3.19%	100%
I learned/understood the subject matters	4.14%	2.90%	11.99%	34.86%	42.32%	3.79%	100%
Instructor appeared sincere about teaching	3.64%	1.77%	8.30%	24.19%	57.50%	4.61%	100%
Instructor's style of teaching held my interest	5.85%	4.82%	12.83%	26.80%	45.43%	4.27%	100%
The instructor used an electronic medium to present course material	4.93%	5.85%	11.78%	30.01%	43.06%	4.37%	100%
The course format was appropriate for course content	2.74%	2.19%	9.12%	32.81%	48.38%	4.77%	100%
Instructor presented the course material clearly and logically	4.11%	3.14%	10.09%	28.30%	49.17%	5.19%	100%
Instructor implemented the course objectives and semester plan as outlined in the course syllabus	2.56%	1.82%	7.80%	30.38%	51.99%	5.45%	100%
Class discussion was encouraged by the instructor	2.40%	2.35%	9.06%	28.48%	52.62%	5.09%	100%
Instructor welcomed students seeking help/advice	3.21%	2.13%	9.49%	27.22%	52.41%	5.53%	100%
Instructor was available to students during posted office hours or by appointment	2.61%	2.19%	13.20%	29.28%	47.14%	5.59%	100%
Instructor related the course to other fields and/or to life/career situations as appropriate	2.42%	2.85%	10.01%	30.67%	48.62%	5.43%	100%
Instructor gave different point of view	2.85%	3.32%	11.94%	30.75%	45.56%	5.59%	100%
Instructor's course assignments were clear and precise	3.87%	2.87%	9.41%	29.70%	48.70%	5.45%	100%
Instructor's examination questions and graded assignments were related to course content	1.53%	1.45%	7.54%	32.07%	51.57%	5.85%	100%
Instructor's course examinations/ projects were graded and returned in a timely manner	2.61%	2.50%	8.67%	31.38%	48.93%	5.90%	100%
Instructor used class time effectively	2.32%	1.98%	9.33%	28.19%	52.49%	5.69%	100%
Instructor was punctual in meeting and dismissing classes	2.19%	1.87%	11.09%	29.51%	49.41%	5.93%	100%
Instructor treated students with respect	2.00%	1.45%	7.67%	26.09%	56.86%	5.93%	100%
Instructor expected a high level of academic excellence from students	1.50%	0.92%	7.33%	28.38%	55.81%	6.06%	100%
Overall, the Instructor was a good teacher	3.24%	2.21%	8.56%	22.64%	57.39%	5.96%	100%

	Summ	ary Analysi	S				
College of Business			Percent I	nstructior	al Rating		
N = 652 Items	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Not Answered	Total
My interest in the subject increased	5.67%	6.13%	15.80%	30.67%	37.88%	3.83%	100%
I learned/understood the subject matters	4.14%	3.53%	14.88%	36.35%	36.35%	4.75%	100%
Instructor appeared sincere about teaching	2.45%	1.38%	9.05%	24.54%	55.98%	6.60%	100%
Instructor's style of teaching held my interest	5.06%	7.21%	12.12%	26.84%	43.25%	5.52%	100%
The instructor used an electronic medium to present course material	3.83%	4.60%	11.35%	33.44%	41.10%	5.67%	100%
The course format was appropriate for course content	1.99%	1.69%	9.20%	34.51%	47.24%	5.37%	100%
Instructor presented the course material clearly and logically	2.15%	3.22%	10.28%	30.21%	48.31%	5.83%	100%
Instructor implemented the course objectives and semester plan as outlined in the course syllabus	0.92%	1.99%	7.82%	33.13%	49.54%	6.60%	100%
Class discussion was encouraged by the instructor	1.07%	1.99%	9.20%	30.98%	50.61%	6.13%	100%
Instructor welcomed students seeking help/advice	1.53%	1.99%	10.12%	28.83%	50.61%	6.90%	100%
Instructor was available to students during posted office hours or by appointment	1.23%	2.15%	12.73%	30.52%	47.70%	5.67%	100%
Instructor related the course to other fields and/or to life/career situations as appropriate	0.77%	1.99%	9.51%	31.75%	48.62%	7.36%	100%
Instructor gave different point of view	1.38%	3.53%	9.82%	31.44%	47.09%	6.75%	100%
Instructor's course assignments were clear and precise	2.45%	2.15%	8.44%	33.28%	46.93%	6.75%	100%
Instructor's examination questions and graded assignments were related to course content	1.23%	1.84%	8.13%	32.82%	49.08%	6.90%	100%
Instructor's course examinations/projects were graded and returned in a timely manner	1.38%	1.99%	8.13%	33.44%	47.55%	7.52%	100%
Instructor used class time effectively	1.84%	1.84%	8.44%	30.06%	51.69%	6.13%	100%
Instructor was punctual in meeting and dismissing classes	1.23%	1.99%	8.74%	32.67%	48.62%	6.75%	100%
Instructor treated students with respect	0.77%	0.77%	6.60%	28.22%	56.60%	7.06%	100%
Instructor expected a high level of academic excellence from students	0.92%	1.07%	6.60%	30.98%	53.37%	7.06%	100%
Overall, the Instructor was a good teacher	2.30%	1.99%	7.82%	23.62%	57.67%	6.60%	100%

# College of Education & Human Development

Human Development	Percent Instructional Rating								
N = 593 Items	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Not Answered	Total		
My interest in the subject increased	4.22%	2.87%	10.29%	28.33%	50.93%	3.37%	100%		
I learned/understood the subject matters	2.87%	1.52%	7.42%	34.40%	50.08%	3.71%	100%		
Instructor appeared sincere about teaching	4.38%	1.52%	6.41%	24.28%	58.85%	4.55%	100%		
Instructor's style of teaching held my interest	4.55%	2.53%	9.95%	26.31%	52.61%	4.05%	100%		
The instructor used an electronic medium to present course material	3.54%	4.05%	10.12%	30.86%	47.05%	4.38%	100%		
The course format was appropriate for course content	2.19%	0.84%	7.08%	31.87%	52.78%	5.23%	100%		
Instructor presented the course material clearly and logically	3.37%	2.19%	6.75%	29.34%	52.45%	5.90%	100%		
Instructor implemented the course objectives and semester plan as outlined in the course syllabus	2.19%	2.02%	5.40%	31.03%	53.46%	5.90%	100%		
Class discussion was encouraged by the instructor	2.36%	1.18%	5.73%	29.17%	56.16%	5.40%	100%		
Instructor welcomed students seeking help/advice	3.88%	2.87%	6.07%	26.48%	54.64%	6.07%	100%		
Instructor was available to students during posted office hours or by appointment	2.87%	2.70%	12.31%	27.66%	48.74%	5.73%	100%		
Instructor related the course to other fields and/or to life/career situations as appropriate	2.36%	1.85%	8.77%	28.33%	53.63%	5.06%	100%		
Instructor gave different point of view	3.20%	2.02%	11.13%	29.17%	48.74%	5.73%	100%		
Instructor's course assignments were clear and precise	3.20%	2.53%	6.75%	29.01%	53.29%	5.23%	100%		
Instructor's examination questions and graded assignments were related to course content	1.52%	1.35%	5.73%	31.37%	54.47%	5.56%	100%		
Instructor's course examinations/projects were graded and returned in a timely manner	2.53%	2.70%	7.42%	30.19%	50.93%	6.24%	100%		
Instructor used class time effectively	1.69%	1.69%	10.79%	27.49%	52.78%	5.56%	100%		
Instructor was punctual in meeting and dismissing classes	1.52%	1.18%	16.19%	26.31%	48.74%	6.07%	100%		
Instructor treated students with respect	2.36%	0.84%	7.59%	27.66%	55.99%	5.56%	100%		
Instructor expected a high level of academic excellence from students	1.52%	0.84%	6.58%	29.34%	56.49%	5.23%	100%		
Overall, the Instructor was a good teacher	3.54%	1.69%	7.76%	22.60%	58.85%	5.56%	100%		

	Summ	ary Analysi	S				
College of Liberal Arts			Percent I	nstructior	nal Rating		
N = 854 Items	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Not Answered	Total
My interest in the subject increased	5.15%	5.27%	15.81%	34.19%	36.07%	3.51%	100%
I learned/understood the subject matters	3.28%	3.04%	13.82%	37.35%	38.76%	3.75%	100%
Instructor appeared sincere about teaching	3.51%	2.11%	9.13%	25.18%	55.39%	4.68%	100%
Instructor's style of teaching held my interest	5.62%	3.51%	14.87%	29.51%	41.57%	4.92%	100%
The instructor used an electronic medium to present course material	7.96%	8.90%	15.22%	28.22%	34.78%	4.92%	100%
The course format was appropriate for course content	3.04%	3.40%	10.89%	33.72%	43.56%	5.39%	100%
Instructor presented the course material clearly and logically	3.98%	2.11%	11.36%	30.44%	46.02%	6.09%	100%
Instructor implemented the course objectives and semester plan as outlined in the course syllabus	2.93%	0.94%	9.48%	33.02%	47.66%	5.97%	100%
Class discussion was encouraged by the instructor	2.58%	1.64%	10.89%	29.27%	49.53%	6.09%	100%
Instructor welcomed students seeking help/advice	3.40%	1.99%	11.94%	29.98%	46.25%	6.44%	100%
Instructor was available to students during posted office hours or by appointment	2.81%	1.52%	16.39%	31.38%	41.45%	6.44%	100%
Instructor related the course to other fields and/or to life/career situations as appropriate	2.34%	1.64%	11.36%	34.54%	44.38%	5.74%	100%
Instructor gave different point of view	2.81%	2.11%	12.18%	34.31%	42.27%	6.32%	100%
Instructor's course assignments were clear and precise	3.75%	2.11%	11.01%	31.38%	45.67%	6.09%	100%
Instructor's examination questions and graded assignments were related to course content	1.52%	0.94%	9.48%	33.37%	47.89%	6.79%	100%
Instructor's course examinations/projects were graded and returned in a timely manner	1.99%	2.34%	11.48%	33.49%	44.50%	6.21%	100%
Instructor used class time effectively	2.22%	1.52%	10.66%	31.15%	48.36%	6.09%	100%
Instructor was punctual in meeting and dismissing classes	2.22%	1.52%	13.35%	31.73%	44.96%	6.21%	100%
Instructor treated students with respect	1.99%	1.52%	9.72%	28.57%	51.99%	6.21%	100%
Instructor expected a high level of academic excellence from students	1.29%	0.82%	9.02%	31.26%	51.05%	6.56%	100%
Overall, the Instructor was a good teacher	2.93%	1.64%	10.19%	24.82%	53.75%	6.67%	100%

College of Public Service   Every and the subject increased   Sorright of Same and the subject increased and the subject		Summ	ary Analysi	S				
ierns   Strongly biasers   Neural 2.99%   Neural 5.97%   Neural 2.81%   Neural 2.81% <th>College of Public Service</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Percent</th> <th>Instructior</th> <th>nal Rating</th> <th></th> <th></th>	College of Public Service			Percent	Instructior	nal Rating		
My interest in the subject increased   3.23%   2.99%   5.77%   26.12%   58.71%   2.99%   100%     I learned/understood the subject matters   2.49%   2.24%   4.96%   29.10%   58.71%   2.49%   100%     Instructor appeared sincere about teaching held my interest   2.29%   3.23%   7.71%   23.36%   59.45%   3.23%   100%     Instructor subject teaching held my interest   2.99%   3.23%   7.71%   23.36%   59.45%   3.23%   100%     The instructor used an electronic medium to present course material   2.99%   0.50%   9.70%   26.12%   57.96%   2.74%   100%     Instructor presented the course material   1.99%   1.74%   4.98%   25.37%   62.44%   3.48%   100%     Instructor inplemented the course objectives and semester plans   2.49%   1.99%   5.22%   21.39%   70.90%   2.24%   100%     Instructor inplemented the course objectives and semester plans   2.49%   1.09%   5.22%   21.39%   70.90%   2.24%   100%   100%     <	-		Disagree	Neutral	Agree			Total
naters   2.49%   2.24%   4.98%   29.10%   58.71%   2.49%   100%     Instructor appeared sincere about teaching   2.24%   1.49%   5.97%   18.16%   68.66%   3.48%   100%     Instructor's style of teaching held my interest   2.99%   3.23%   7.71%   23.38%   59.45%   3.23%   100%     The instructor used an electronic medium to present course material for course content   1.99%   1.74%   4.98%   25.37%   62.44%   3.48%   100%     Instructor's presented the course objectives and semester plan as outlined in the course sylabus   3.23%   3.73%   5.97%   21.14%   61.44%   4.48%   100%     Instructor implemented the course objectives and semester plan as outlined in the course sylabus   1.99%   5.22%   21.39%   65.92%   2.99%   100%     Instructor welcomed students seeking belp/advice   2.49%   1.00%   2.42%   1.03%   2.43%   100%     Instructor was available to students sa appropriate   2.42%   1.09%   5.47%   25.12%   63.43%   2.74%   100%     Instruc	My interest in the subject increased	_			-			
teaching 2.24% 1.49% 5.97% 18.16% 68.66% 3.48% 100%   Instructor's style of teaching held my interest 2.99% 3.23% 7.71% 23.38% 59.45% 3.23% 100%   The instructor used an electronic medium to present course material 2.99% 0.50% 9.70% 26.12% 57.96% 2.74% 100%   The course format was appropriate for course content 1.99% 1.74% 4.98% 25.37% 62.44% 3.48% 100%   Instructor presented the course objectives and semester plan as outlined in the course soluting 3.23% 3.73% 5.97% 21.14% 61.44% 4.48% 100%   Class discussion was encouraged by the instructor 2.49% 1.00% 2.24% 21.39% 65.92% 2.99% 100%   Instructor welcomed students seeking duping posted office hours or by appointment 2.49% 1.00% 5.27% 25.12% 63.43% 2.74% 100%   Instructor related the course other fields and/or to life/career situations as appropriate 2.24% 1.00% 5.47% 25.12% 63.43% 2.74% 100%   Instructor's course assignments were related afferent point of	-	2.49%	2.24%	4.98%	29.10%	58.71%	2.49%	100%
interest 2.99% 3.23% 7.71% 23.38% 59.45% 3.23% 100%   The instructor used an electronic medium to present course material 2.99% 0.50% 9.70% 26.12% 57.96% 2.74% 100%   The course format was appropriate 1.99% 1.74% 4.98% 25.37% 62.44% 3.48% 100%   Instructor presented the course material clearly and logically 3.23% 3.73% 5.97% 21.14% 61.44% 4.48% 100%   Instructor implemented the course of an electronic and semester plan as outlined in the course sylabus 2.49% 1.09% 5.22% 21.39% 65.92% 2.99% 100%   Class discussion was encouraged by the instructor 2.49% 1.00% 2.24% 1.00% 2.24% 100%   Instructor was available to students getting posted office hours or by appointment 1.49% 1.99% 7.71% 25.12% 59.45% 4.23% 100%   Instructor gave different point of view 2.24% 1.00% 5.47% 25.12% 59.45% 3.23% 100%   Instructor seasingments were related the course to other fields and/or to life/career situations 2.24% <td< td=""><td></td><td>2.24%</td><td>1.49%</td><td>5.97%</td><td>18.16%</td><td>68.66%</td><td>3.48%</td><td>100%</td></td<>		2.24%	1.49%	5.97%	18.16%	68.66%	3.48%	100%
medium to present course material   2.99%   0.50%   9.70%   26.12%   57.96%   2.74%   100%     The course format was appropriate for course content   1.99%   1.74%   4.98%   25.37%   62.44%   3.48%   100%     Instructor presented the course objectives and semester plan as outlined in the course syllabus   3.23%   3.73%   5.97%   21.14%   61.44%   4.48%   100%     Class discussion was encouraged by heinstructor   2.49%   1.09%   5.22%   21.39%   65.92%   2.99%   100%     Instructor welcomed students seeking heip/advice   2.44%   1.00%   2.24%   10.00%   2.24%   10.00%   3.23%   3.23%   100%     Instructor welcomed students seeking aunip obsted office hours or by appointment   1.49%   1.99%   7.71%   25.12%   59.45%   4.23%   100%     Instructor related the course to other felds and/or to life/career situations as appropriate   2.24%   1.00%   5.47%   25.12%   63.43%   2.74%   100%     Instructor's course assignments were clear and precise   4.23%   2.99%   6.22%		2.99%	3.23%	7.71%	23.38%	59.45%	3.23%	100%
for course content1.99%1.74%4.98%25.37%62.44%3.48%100%Instructor presented the course material clearly and logically3.23%3.73%5.97%21.14%61.44%4.48%100%Instructor implemented the course objectives and semester plan as outlined in the course syllabus2.49%1.99%5.22%21.39%65.92%2.99%100%Class discussion was encouraged by the instructor welcomed students seeking plapload/or to life/career situations as appropriate2.49%1.00%2.24%6.97%3.23%100%Instructor welcomed students during posted office hours or by appointment1.49%1.99%7.71%25.12%59.45%4.23%100%Instructor related the course to other decare and precise2.24%1.00%5.47%25.12%63.43%2.74%100%Instructor's examination questions and graded assignments were relear and precise2.24%1.00%5.47%25.12%63.43%2.74%100%Instructor's course examination questions and graded assignments were related to course content1.24%0.75%5.72%25.67%56.47%4.48%100%Instructor's examination questions and graded assignments were related and returned in a timely manner2.49%3.23%6.97%26.37%56.47%4.48%100%Instructor's examination questions and graded assignments with respect1.49%1.49%5.47%21.14%65.42%4.48%100%Instructor's examina		2.99%	0.50%	9.70%	26.12%	57.96%	2.74%	100%
material clearly and logically3.23%3.73%5.97%21.14%61.44%4.48%100%Instructor implemented the course objectives and semester plan as utlined in the course syllabus2.49%1.99%5.22%21.39%65.92%2.99%100%Class discussion was encouraged by the instructor2.24%1.00%2.24%21.39%70.90%2.24%100%Instructor welcomed students seeking help/advice2.49%1.74%6.97%18.66%66.92%3.23%100%Instructor was available to students during posted office hours or by appointment1.49%1.99%7.71%25.12%59.45%4.23%100%Instructor gave different point of view clear and precise2.24%1.00%5.47%25.12%63.43%2.74%100%Instructor's examination questions and graded assignments were related to course content2.24%1.99%5.97%25.87%59.95%3.98%100%Instructor's course examinations/projects were graded and returned in a timely manner2.49%0.75%5.72%27.61%60.20%4.48%100%Instructor used class time effectively1.49%1.49%5.47%21.49%56.47%4.68%100%Instructor was punctual in meeting and dismissing classes1.74%1.00%8.96%21.89%60.95%5.47%100%Instructor was punctual in meeting and dismissing classes1.74%1.00%8.96%21.89%60.95%5.47%100%Inst		1.99%	1.74%	4.98%	25.37%	62.44%	3.48%	100%
objectives and semester plan as outlined in the course syllabus2.49%1.99%5.22%21.39%65.92%2.99%100%Class discussion was encouraged by the instructor2.24%1.00%2.24%21.39%70.90%2.24%100%Instructor welcomed students seeking appointment2.49%1.74%6.97%18.66%66.92%3.23%100%Instructor was available to students during posted office hours or by appointment1.49%1.99%7.71%25.12%59.45%4.23%100%Instructor related the course to other fields and/or to life/career situations as appropriate2.24%1.00%5.47%25.12%63.43%2.74%100%Instructor's course assignments to course content2.24%1.09%5.97%25.87%59.95%3.28%100%Instructor's course assignments to course content2.24%1.09%5.97%25.67%60.70%3.23%100%Instructor's course tand precise1.24%0.75%5.72%27.61%60.20%4.48%100%Instructor's course tand returned in a timely manner2.49%3.23%6.97%26.37%56.47%4.48%100%Instructor was punctual in meeting and dismissing classes1.49%1.49%5.47%21.84%60.95%5.47%100%Instructor expected a high level of academic excellence from students1.49%1.49%4.73%17.66%69.15%5.47%100%Instructor expected a high level of academic	•	3.23%	3.73%	5.97%	21.14%	61.44%	4.48%	100%
the instructor 2.24% 1.00% 2.24% 21.39% 70.90% 2.24% 100%   Instructor welcomed students seeking help/advice 2.49% 1.74% 6.97% 18.66% 66.92% 3.23% 100%   Instructor was available to students during posted office hours or by appointment 1.49% 1.99% 7.71% 25.12% 59.45% 4.23% 100%   Instructor related the course to other fields and/or to life/career situations as appropriate 2.24% 1.00% 5.47% 25.12% 63.43% 2.74% 100%   Instructor gave different point of view 2.24% 1.00% 5.47% 25.12% 63.43% 2.74% 100%   Instructor's course assignments were clear and precise 4.23% 2.99% 6.22% 22.64% 60.70% 3.23% 100%   Instructor's course content 1.24% 0.75% 5.72% 27.61% 60.20% 4.48% 100%   Instructor's course examination questions and graded assignments were related to course content 1.49% 1.49% 5.47% 26.37% 56.47% 4.48% 100%   Instructor used class time effectively 1.49% 1.49% 5.47	objectives and semester plan as	2.49%	1.99%	5.22%	21.39%	65.92%	2.99%	100%
help/advice 2.49% 1.74% 6.97% 18.66% 66.92% 3.23% 100%   Instructor was available to students during posted office hours or by appointment 1.49% 1.99% 7.71% 25.12% 59.45% 4.23% 100%   Instructor related the course to other fields and/or to life/career situations as appropriate 2.24% 1.00% 5.47% 25.12% 63.43% 2.74% 100%   Instructor gave different point of view 2.24% 1.99% 5.97% 25.87% 59.95% 3.98% 100%   Instructor's course assignments were clear and precise 4.23% 2.99% 6.22% 22.64% 60.70% 3.23% 100%   Instructor's course content 1.24% 0.75% 5.72% 27.61% 60.20% 4.48% 100%   Instructor's course examinations/projects were graded and returned in a timely manner 1.49% 3.23% 6.97% 26.37% 56.47% 4.48% 100%   Instructor used class time effectively 1.49% 1.49% 5.47% 21.14% 65.42% 4.98% 100%   Instructor reated students with respect 1.49% 1.49% 4.73% 17.66		2.24%	1.00%	2.24%	21.39%	70.90%	2.24%	100%
during posted office hours or by appointment1.49%1.99%7.71%25.12%59.45%4.23%100%Instructor related the course to other fields and/or to life/career situations as appropriate2.24%1.00%5.47%25.12%63.43%2.74%100%Instructor gave different point of view clear and precise2.24%1.99%5.97%25.87%59.95%3.98%100%Instructor's course assignments were clear and precise4.23%2.99%6.22%22.64%60.70%3.23%100%Instructor's examination questions and graded assignments were related to course content1.24%0.75%5.72%27.61%60.20%4.48%100%Instructor's course examinations/projects were graded and returned in a timely manner2.49%3.23%6.97%26.37%56.47%4.48%100%Instructor used class time effectively1.49%1.49%5.47%21.14%60.95%5.47%100%Instructor use punctual in meeting and dismissing classes1.74%1.00%8.96%21.89%60.95%5.47%100%Instructor expected a high level of academic excellence from students1.49%0.25%4.73%18.66%69.65%5.22%100%Overall, the Instructor was a good1.49%0.25%4.73%18.66%69.65%5.22%100%		2.49%	1.74%	6.97%	18.66%	66.92%	3.23%	100%
fields and/or to life/career situations as appropriate2.24%1.00%5.47%25.12%63.43%2.74%100%Instructor gave different point of view clear and precise2.24%1.99%5.97%25.87%59.95%3.98%100%Instructor's course assignments were clear and precise4.23%2.99%6.22%22.64%60.70%3.23%100%Instructor's examination questions and graded assignments were related to course content1.24%0.75%5.72%27.61%60.20%4.48%100%Instructor's course examinations/projects were graded and returned in a timely manner2.49%3.23%6.97%26.37%56.47%4.48%100%Instructor used class time effectively1.49%1.49%5.47%21.14%65.42%4.98%100%Instructor reated students with respect1.49%1.49%4.73%17.66%69.15%5.47%100%Instructor expected a high level of academic excellence from students1.49%0.25%4.73%18.66%69.65%5.22%100%	during posted office hours or by	1.49%	1.99%	7.71%	25.12%	59.45%	4.23%	100%
Instructor's course assignments were clear and precise4.23%2.99%6.22%22.64%60.70%3.23%100%Instructor's examination questions and graded assignments were related to course content1.24%0.75%5.72%27.61%60.20%4.48%100%Instructor's course examinations/projects were graded and returned in a timely manner2.49%3.23%6.97%26.37%56.47%4.48%100%Instructor used class time effectively1.49%1.49%5.47%21.14%65.42%4.98%100%Instructor was punctual in meeting and dismissing classes1.74%1.00%8.96%21.89%60.95%5.47%100%Instructor reated students with respect1.49%1.49%4.73%17.66%69.15%5.47%100%Instructor excellence from students1.49%0.25%4.73%18.66%69.65%5.22%100%Overall, the Instructor was a good1.49%0.25%4.73%18.66%69.65%5.22%100%	fields and/or to life/career situations	2.24%	1.00%	5.47%	25.12%	63.43%	2.74%	100%
clear and precise4.23%2.99%6.22%22.64%60.70%3.23%100%Instructor's examination questions and graded assignments were related to course content1.24%0.75%5.72%27.61%60.20%4.48%100%Instructor's course examinations/projects were graded and returned in a timely manner2.49%3.23%6.97%26.37%56.47%4.48%100%Instructor used class time effectively1.49%1.49%5.47%21.14%65.42%4.98%100%Instructor was punctual in meeting and dismissing classes1.74%1.00%8.96%21.89%60.95%5.47%100%Instructor treated students with respect1.49%1.49%4.73%17.66%69.15%5.47%100%Instructor expected a high level of academic excellence from students1.49%0.25%4.73%18.66%69.65%5.22%100%Overall, the Instructor was a good1.49%1.49%1.73%18.66%69.65%5.22%100%	Instructor gave different point of view	2.24%	1.99%	5.97%	25.87%	59.95%	3.98%	100%
and graded assignments were related to course content1.24%0.75%5.72%27.61%60.20%4.48%100%Instructor's course examinations/projects were graded and returned in a timely manner2.49%3.23%6.97%26.37%56.47%4.48%100%Instructor used class time effectively1.49%1.49%5.47%21.14%65.42%4.98%100%Instructor was punctual in meeting and dismissing classes1.74%1.00%8.96%21.89%60.95%5.47%100%Instructor treated students with respect1.49%1.49%4.73%17.66%69.15%5.47%100%Instructor expected a high level of academic excellence from students1.49%0.25%4.73%18.66%69.65%5.22%100%Overall, the Instructor was a good1.49%0.25%4.73%18.66%69.65%5.22%100%		4.23%	2.99%	6.22%	22.64%	60.70%	3.23%	100%
examinations/projects were graded and returned in a timely manner2.49%3.23%6.97%26.37%56.47%4.48%100%Instructor used class time effectively1.49%1.49%5.47%21.14%65.42%4.98%100%Instructor was punctual in meeting and dismissing classes1.74%1.00%8.96%21.89%60.95%5.47%100%Instructor treated students with respect1.49%1.49%4.73%17.66%69.15%5.47%100%Instructor expected a high level of academic excellence from students1.49%0.25%4.73%18.66%69.65%5.22%100%Overall, the Instructor was a good1.49%1.49%1.47%18.66%69.65%5.22%100%	and graded assignments were related	1.24%	0.75%	5.72%	27.61%	60.20%	4.48%	100%
Instructor was punctual in meeting and dismissing classes1.74%1.00%8.96%21.89%60.95%5.47%100%Instructor treated students with respect1.49%1.49%4.73%17.66%69.15%5.47%100%Instructor expected a high level of academic excellence from students1.49%0.25%4.73%18.66%69.65%5.22%100%Overall, the Instructor was a good	examinations/projects were graded	2.49%	3.23%	6.97%	26.37%	56.47%	4.48%	100%
and dismissing classes 1.74% 1.00% 8.96% 21.89% 60.95% 5.47% 100%   Instructor treated students with respect 1.49% 1.49% 4.73% 17.66% 69.15% 5.47% 100%   Instructor expected a high level of academic excellence from students 1.49% 0.25% 4.73% 18.66% 69.65% 5.22% 100%   Overall, the Instructor was a good 1.49% 0.25% 4.73% 18.66% 69.65% 5.22% 100%	Instructor used class time effectively	1.49%	1.49%	5.47%	21.14%	65.42%	4.98%	100%
respect 1.49% 1.49% 4.73% 17.66% 69.15% 5.47% 100%   Instructor expected a high level of academic excellence from students 1.49% 0.25% 4.73% 18.66% 69.65% 5.22% 100%   Overall, the Instructor was a good 1.49% 1.49% 1.49% 1.473% 18.66% 69.65% 5.22% 100%		1.74%	1.00%	8.96%	21.89%	60.95%	5.47%	100%
academic excellence from students1.49%0.25%4.73%18.66%69.65%5.22%100%Overall, the Instructor was a good		1.49%	1.49%	4.73%	17.66%	69.15%	5.47%	100%
		1.49%	0.25%	4.73%	18.66%	69.65%	5.22%	100%
	•	1.49%	2.99%	4.98%	16.17%	69.90%	4.48%	100%

#### College of Science, Engineering & Technology

Engineering & Technology	Percent Instructional Rating									
N = 843 Items	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Not Answered	Total			
My interest in the subject increased	8.30%	7.00%	18.39%	29.54%	34.40%	2.37%	100%			
I learned/understood the subject matters	7.12%	3.56%	14.83%	36.65%	34.40%	3.44%	100%			
Instructor appeared sincere about teaching	5.22%	1.78%	9.61%	26.93%	53.02%	3.44%	100%			
Instructor's style of teaching held my interest	9.13%	7.59%	15.90%	27.05%	36.65%	3.68%	100%			
The instructor used an electronic medium to present course material	5.93%	7.12%	11.51%	32.62%	39.38%	3.44%	100%			
The course format was appropriate for course content	3.91%	3.08%	10.32%	36.30%	42.59%	3.80%	100%			
Instructor presented the course material clearly and logically	6.76%	4.74%	13.29%	29.18%	42.23%	3.80%	100%			
Instructor implemented the course objectives and semester plan as outlined in the course syllabus	4.03%	2.25%	7.83%	33.45%	47.81%	4.63%	100%			
Class discussion was encouraged by the instructor	3.91%	5.22%	14.12%	29.89%	42.70%	4.15%	100%			
Instructor welcomed students seeking help/advice	4.86%	2.85%	10.44%	29.54%	47.92%	4.39%	100%			
Instructor was available to students during posted office hours or by appointment	4.27%	2.61%	13.88%	31.67%	42.82%	4.74%	100%			
Instructor related the course to other fields and/or to life/career situations as appropriate	4.27%	6.64%	13.17%	31.55%	39.86%	4.51%	100%			
Instructor gave different point of view	4.51%	6.05%	17.67%	30.37%	37.13%	4.27%	100%			
Instructor's course assignments were clear and precise	5.69%	4.27%	12.46%	30.25%	42.70%	4.63%	100%			
Instructor's examination questions and graded assignments were related to course content	1.90%	1.54%	7.00%	35.35%	49.58%	4.63%	100%			
Instructor's course examinations/projects were graded and returned in a timely manner	4.39%	2.37%	7.95%	33.33%	47.69%	4.27%	100%			
Instructor used class time effectively	3.91%	2.97%	9.37%	29.89%	48.87%	4.98%	100%			
Instructor was punctual in meeting and dismissing classes	3.56%	3.44%	8.66%	32.86%	46.50%	4.98%	100%			
Instructor treated students with respect	3.44%	2.37%	8.54%	26.69%	53.97%	4.98%	100%			
Instructor expected a high level of academic excellence from students	2.37%	1.07%	8.42%	30.84%	51.60%	5.69%	100%			
Overall, the Instructor was a good teacher	5.34%	3.32%	9.49%	25.86%	50.65%	5.34%	100%			

## School of Journalism & Media Studies

Media Studies	Percent Instructional Rating								
N = 19 Items	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Not Answered	Total		
My interest in the subject increased	10.53%	0.00%	0.00%	57.89%	21.05%	10.53%	100%		
I learned/understood the subject matters	5.26%	0.00%	5.26%	31.58%	47.37%	10.53%	100%		
Instructor appeared sincere about teaching	5.26%	0.00%	0.00%	26.32%	57.89%	10.53%	100%		
Instructor's style of teaching held my interest	0.00%	0.00%	10.53%	26.32%	52.63%	10.53%	100%		
The instructor used an electronic medium to present course material	5.26%	0.00%	5.26%	42.11%	36.84%	10.53%	100%		
The course format was appropriate for course content	5.26%	0.00%	10.53%	31.58%	42.11%	10.53%	100%		
Instructor presented the course material clearly and logically	0.00%	5.26%	5.26%	31.58%	47.37%	10.53%	100%		
Instructor implemented the course objectives and semester plan as outlined in the course syllabus	5.26%	0.00%	5.26%	31.58%	42.11%	15.79%	100%		
Class discussion was encouraged by the instructor	5.26%	5.26%	0.00%	42.11%	36.84%	10.53%	100%		
Instructor welcomed students seeking help/advice	5.26%	0.00%	5.26%	26.32%	52.63%	10.53%	100%		
Instructor was available to students during posted office hours or by appointment	5.26%	0.00%	15.79%	31.58%	36.84%	10.53%	100%		
Instructor related the course to other fields and/or to life/career situations as appropriate	5.26%	0.00%	0.00%	31.58%	47.37%	15.79%	100%		
Instructor gave different point of view	0.00%	10.53%	5.26%	47.37%	26.32%	10.53%	100%		
Instructor's course assignments were clear and precise	5.26%	0.00%	0.00%	36.84%	47.37%	10.53%	100%		
Instructor's examination questions and graded assignments were related to course content	5.26%	0.00%	0.00%	26.32%	57.89%	10.53%	100%		
Instructor's course examinations/projects were graded and returned in a timely manner	10.53%	0.00%	0.00%	26.32%	52.63%	10.53%	100%		
Instructor used class time effectively	5.26%	0.00%	0.00%	21.05%	63.16%	10.53%	100%		
Instructor was punctual in meeting and dismissing classes	5.26%	0.00%	0.00%	26.32%	57.89%	10.53%	100%		
Instructor treated students with respect	0.00%	5.26%	0.00%	21.05%	63.16%	10.53%	100%		
Instructor expected a high level of academic excellence from students	0.00%	5.26%	0.00%	21.05%	63.16%	10.53%	100%		
Overall, the Instructor was a good teacher	5.26%	0.00%	0.00%	15.79%	68.42%	10.53%	100%		

School of Public Health	Percent Instructional Rating							
N= 220 Items	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Not Answered	Total	
My interest in the subject increased	4.55%	5.91%	8.18%	25.45%	54.55%	1.36%	100%	
I learned/understood the subject matters	3.18%	5.45%	8.64%	27.27%	52.73%	2.73%	100%	
Instructor appeared sincere about teaching	3.64%	2.73%	7.73%	20.91%	62.73%	2.27%	100%	
Instructor's style of teaching held my interest	8.64%	5.91%	12.27%	21.82%	49.55%	1.82%	100%	
The instructor used an electronic medium to present course material	2.73%	8.18%	5.00%	25.00%	55.45%	3.64%	100%	
The course format was appropriate for course content	3.64%	1.82%	6.82%	26.36%	59.09%	2.27%	100%	
Instructor presented the course material clearly and logically	7.27%	4.55%	8.18%	22.27%	55.45%	2.27%	100%	
Instructor implemented the course objectives and semester plan as outlined in the course syllabus	3.18%	3.64%	5.91%	20.45%	63.64%	3.18%	100%	
Class discussion was encouraged by the instructor	1.82%	2.27%	4.09%	21.82%	66.36%	3.64%	100%	
Instructor welcomed students seeking help/advice	2.73%	0.00%	7.27%	22.73%	63.18%	4.09%	100%	
Instructor was available to students during posted office hours or by appointment	2.73%	2.27%	12.73%	22.73%	55.00%	4.55%	100%	
Instructor related the course to other fields and/or to life/career situations as appropriate	2.27%	2.73%	4.55%	22.73%	62.27%	5.45%	100%	
Instructor gave different point of view	3.18%	4.55%	8.18%	25.91%	52.73%	5.45%	100%	
Instructor's course assignments were clear and precise	5.91%	5.00%	6.82%	24.09%	53.18%	5.00%	100%	
Instructor's examination questions and graded assignments were related to course content	2.27%	3.64%	5.45%	22.73%	59.55%	6.36%	100%	
Instructor's course examinations/projects were graded and returned in a timely manner	3.18%	2.73%	6.36%	23.64%	58.64%	5.45%	100%	
Instructor used class time effectively	2.27%	3.18%	10.00%	20.00%	58.64%	5.91%	100%	
Instructor was punctual in meeting and dismissing classes	3.18%	1.36%	9.09%	20.00%	61.36%	5.00%	100%	
Instructor treated students with respect	0.91%	1.82%	5.00%	20.45%	66.36%	5.45%	100%	
Instructor expected a high level of academic excellence from students	1.82%	1.36%	3.64%	19.09%	68.64%	5.45%	100%	
Overall, the Instructor was a good teacher	3.64%	2.27%	9.09%	20.00%	60.00%	5.00%	100%	

	Summary Analysis							
Division of Graduate Studies			Percent I	nstructior	nal Rating			
N = 19 Items	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Not Answered	Total	
My interest in the subject increased	0.00%	0.00%	5.26%	15.79%	36.84%	42.11%	100%	
I learned/understood the subject matters	0.00%	0.00%	10.53%	5.26%	42.11%	42.11%	100%	
Instructor appeared sincere about teaching	0.00%	0.00%	5.26%	10.53%	36.84%	47.37%	100%	
Instructor's style of teaching held my interest	0.00%	0.00%	5.26%	10.53%	36.84%	47.37%	100%	
The instructor used an electronic medium to present course material	0.00%	0.00%	15.79%	5.26%	31.58%	47.37%	100%	
The course format was appropriate for course content	0.00%	0.00%	5.26%	10.53%	36.84%	47.37%	100%	
Instructor presented the course material clearly and logically	0.00%	0.00%	5.26%	10.53%	36.84%	47.37%	100%	
Instructor implemented the course objectives and semester plan as outlined in the course syllabus	0.00%	0.00%	10.53%	5.26%	36.84%	47.37%	100%	
Class discussion was encouraged by the instructor	0.00%	0.00%	5.26%	10.53%	36.84%	47.37%	100%	
Instructor welcomed students seeking help/advice	0.00%	0.00%	10.53%	5.26%	36.84%	47.37%	100%	
Instructor was available to students during posted office hours or by appointment	0.00%	0.00%	5.26%	10.53%	36.84%	47.37%	100%	
Instructor related the course to other fields and/or to life/career situations as appropriate	0.00%	0.00%	5.26%	10.53%	36.84%	47.37%	100%	
Instructor gave different point of view	0.00%	0.00%	10.53%	5.26%	36.84%	47.37%	100%	
Instructor's course assignments were clear and precise	0.00%	0.00%	5.26%	10.53%	36.84%	47.37%	100%	
Instructor's examination questions and graded assignments were related to course content	0.00%	0.00%	10.53%	5.26%	36.84%	47.37%	100%	
Instructor's course examinations/projects were graded and returned in a timely manner	0.00%	0.00%	5.26%	10.53%	36.84%	47.37%	100%	
Instructor used class time effectively	0.00%	0.00%	5.26%	10.53%	31.58%	52.63%	100%	
Instructor was punctual in meeting and dismissing classes	0.00%	0.00%	5.26%	10.53%	36.84%	47.37%	100%	
Instructor treated students with respect	0.00%	0.00%	5.26%	10.53%	36.84%	47.37%	100%	
Instructor expected a high level of academic excellence from students	0.00%	0.00%	5.26%	10.53%	36.84%	47.37%	100%	
Overall, the Instructor was a good teacher	0.00%	0.00%	5.26%	10.53%	36.84%	47.37%	100%	

#### ato Studia Divisio of Gradu

# Division of Undergraduate Studies

Studies	Percent Instructional Rating								
N = 193 Items	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Not Answered	Total		
My interest in the subject increased	5.70%	3.11%	26.42%	30.57%	33.68%	0.52%	100%		
I learned/understood the subject matters	3.63%	0.52%	15.03%	36.27%	42.49%	2.07%	100%		
Instructor appeared sincere about teaching	2.07%	2.07%	8.81%	23.83%	60.10%	3.11%	100%		
Instructor's style of teaching held my interest	3.11%	0.52%	13.99%	29.53%	52.33%	0.52%	100%		
The instructor used an electronic medium to present course material	2.07%	6.22%	16.58%	27.46%	46.63%	1.04%	100%		
The course format was appropriate for course content	1.04%	0.52%	13.47%	35.75%	45.60%	3.63%	100%		
Instructor presented the course material clearly and logically	1.04%	0.52%	11.92%	28.50%	54.92%	3.11%	100%		
Instructor implemented the course objectives and semester plan as outlined in the course syllabus	0.52%	0.52%	15.03%	26.42%	53.37%	4.15%	100%		
Class discussion was encouraged by the instructor	0.52%	0.52%	9.84%	31.09%	54.92%	3.11%	100%		
Instructor welcomed students seeking help/advice	1.04%	1.55%	10.88%	26.94%	57.51%	2.07%	100%		
Instructor was available to students during posted office hours or by appointment	0.52%	2.59%	12.95%	27.98%	51.81%	4.15%	100%		
Instructor related the course to other fields and/or to life/career situations as appropriate	1.04%	2.07%	12.95%	35.75%	45.08%	3.11%	100%		
Instructor gave different point of view	1.04%	1.04%	12.95%	35.75%	46.63%	2.59%	100%		
Instructor's course assignments were clear and precise	0.52%	1.55%	11.40%	32.12%	51.30%	3.11%	100%		
Instructor's examination questions and graded assignments were related to course content	0.52%	1.55%	11.40%	34.72%	49.74%	2.07%	100%		
Instructor's course examinations/projects were graded and returned in a timely manner	1.04%	3.63%	12.44%	32.12%	46.63%	4.15%	100%		
Instructor used class time effectively	1.04%	1.04%	10.36%	30.05%	55.44%	2.07%	100%		
Instructor was punctual in meeting and dismissing classes	1.04%	1.04%	12.44%	33.16%	49.22%	3.11%	100%		
Instructor treated students with respect	1.55%	0.52%	8.81%	26.42%	59.59%	3.11%	100%		
Instructor expected a high level of academic excellence from students	0.52%	1.04%	10.36%	26.42%	59.07%	2.59%	100%		
Overall, the Instructor was a good teacher	1.04%	1.04%	10.36%	13.99%	69.43%	4.15%	100%		