Spring 2021 Institutional Data

Percent Instructional Rating Strongly Strongly Number of students = 1598 Total Disagree Disagree Neutral Agree Agree Not Answered My interest in the subject increased 5.76% 5.51% 18.34% 29.29% 38.24% 2.88% 100% I learned/understood the subject matters 4.76% 2.94% 12.89% 35.48% 41.18% 2.75% 100% 27.60% Instructor appeared sincere about teaching 4.88% 2.94% 12.77% 48.44% 3.38% 100% Instructor's style of teaching held my interest 7.57% 5.69% 16.71% 27.85% 38.67% 3.50% 100% The instructor used an electronic medium to present course material 2.25% 11.51% 32.67% 46.93% 3.32% 100% 3.32% The course format was appropriate for course content 4.26% 2.50% 10.95% 32.48% 45.74% 4.07% 100% Instructor presented the course material clearly and logically 5.51% 4.01% 11.39% 29.54% 45.68% 3.88% 100% Instructor implemented the course objectives and semester plan as outlined in the course 1.00% 47.00% 3.82% 100% syllabus 3.44% 10.26% 34.48% Class discussion was encouraged by the instructor 3.82% 3.44% 12.08% 29.91% 46.93% 3.82% 100% Instructor welcomed students seeking help / 3.82% 3.07% 11.89% 29.47% 4.13% 100% advice 47.62% Instructor was available to students during posted office hours or by appointment 3.19% 2.19% 15.64% 30.85% 43.99% 4.13% 100% Instructor related the course to other fields and/or to life/career situations as appropriate 4.13% 3.38% 14.02% 30.98% 43.37% 4.13% 100% Instructor gave different point of view 4.88% 4.69% 17.15% 29.72% 39.49% 4.07% 100% Instructor's course assignments were clear 100% and precise 5.26% 3.57% 11.83% 31.85% 43.24% 4.26% Instructor's examination questions and graded assignments were related to course content 2.75% 1.75% 10.83% 33.98% 46.50% 4.19% 100% Instructor's course examinations/projects were graded and returned in a timely manner 4.44% 3.13% 11.45% 32.10% 44.68% 4.19% 100% Instructor used class time effectively 3.69% 1.88% 14.89% 30.10% 44.56% 4.88% 100% Instructor was punctual in meeting and dismissing classes 3.07% 2.07% 19.77% 28.72% 41.93% 4.44% 100% Instructor treated students with respect 3.82% 1.50% 10.83% 28.85% 50.69% 4.32% 100% Instructor expected a high level of academic excellence from students 2.13% 1.00% 10.51% 29.41% 52.00% 4.94% 100% Overall, the Instructor was a good teacher 4.69% 2.38% 9.95% 25.16% 52.88% 4.94% 100% College of Business Percent Instructional Rating

College of Dusiliess		reitent instructional karing								
Number of students = 49	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Not Answered	Total			
My interest in the subject increased	10.20%	8.16%	14.29%	34.69%	32.65%	0.00%	100%			
I learned/understood the subject matters	10.20%	6.12%	10.20%	40.82%	32.65%	0.00%	100%			
Instructor appeared sincere about teaching	10.20%	6.12%	14.29%	30.61%	36.73%	2.04%	100%			
Instructor's style of teaching held my interest The instructor used an electronic medium to	14.29%	4.08%	16.33%	36.73%	28.57%	0.00%	100%			
present course material The course format was appropriate for	4.08%	6.12%	10.20%	46.94%	32.65%	0.00%	100%			
course content Instructor presented the course material	6.12%	6.12%	16.33%	40.82%	30.61%	0.00%	100%			
clearly and logically Instructor implemented the course objectives	10.20%	4.08%	12.24%	38.78%	32.65%	2.04%	100%			
and semester plan as outlined in the course										
syllabus Class discussion was encouraged by the	6.12%	2.04%	4.08%	51.02%	36.73%	0.00%	100%			
instructor Instructor welcomed students seeking help /	8.16%	10.20%	12.24%	38.78%	30.61%	0.00%	100%			
advice	8.16%	2.04%	14.29%	38.78%	36.73%	0.00%	100%			
Instructor was available to students during posted office hours or by appointment Instructor related the course to other fields and/or to life/career situations as	8.16%	2.04%	24.49%	34.69%	30.61%	0.00%	100%			
appropriate	6.12%	6.12%	18.37%	36.73%	30.61%	2.04%	100%			
Instructor gave different point of view Instructor's course assignments were clear	8.16%	6.12%	16.33%	40.82%	26.53%	2.04%	100%			
and precise Instructor's examination questions and graded assignments were related to course	10.20%	4.08%	10.20%	42.86%	32.65%	0.00%	100%			
content	4.08%	6.12%	6.12%	53.06%	28.57%	2.04%	100%			
Instructor's course examinations/projects										
were graded and returned in a timely manner	6.12%	4.08%	6.12%	44.90%	38.78%	0.00%	100%			
Instructor used class time effectively Instructor was punctual in meeting and	8.16%	2.04%	20.41%	42.86%	26.53%	0.00%	100%			
dismissing classes	4.08%	4.08%	28.57%	38.78%	24.49%	0.00%	100%			
Instructor treated students with respect Instructor expected a high level of academic	4.08%	6.12%	6.12%	38.78%	44.90%	0.00%	100%			
excellence from students	4.08%	2.04%	10.20%	44.90%	36.73%	2.04%	100%			
Overall, the Instructor was a good teacher	10.20%	6.12%	4.08%	48.98%	30.61%	0.00%	100%			

College of Education Percent Instructional Rating

	Strongly		· creciit	mistractione	Strongly		
Number of students = 359	Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Agree	Not Answered	Total
My interest in the subject increased	3.90%	1.67%	13.65%	31.20%	48.19%	1.39%	100%
Hearned (understood the subject matters	2.06%	1.39%	6.06%	2E 200/	E1 010/	1 200/	100%
I learned/understood the subject matters	3.06%	1.39%	6.96%	35.38%	51.81%	1.39%	100%
Instructor appeared sincere about teaching	2.79%	1.67%	11.14%	25.35%	56.82%	2.23%	100%
Instructor's style of teaching held my interest	4.46%	3.34%	13.93%	27.30%	48.47%	2.51%	100%
The instructor used an electronic medium to							
present course material	1.67%	1.67%	11.14%	30.92%	52.37%	2.23%	100%
The course format was appropriate for course content	1.39%	1.67%	7.24%	31.20%	54.87%	3.62%	100%
Instructor presented the course material					2.,,3		
clearly and logically	3.62%	2.51%	6.69%	30.92%	53.48%	2.79%	100%
Instructor implemented the course objectives and semester plan as outlined in the course							
syllabus	2.23%	1.11%	6.13%	32.03%	55.15%	3.34%	100%
Class discussion was encouraged by the	2 2224	4.670/	7.000/	27 500/	F7 660/	2.000/	4000/
instructor Instructor welcomed students seeking help /	2.23%	1.67%	7.80%	27.58%	57.66%	3.06%	100%
advice	1.67%	2.51%	10.58%	27.86%	54.04%	3.34%	100%
lacturates was available to students during							
Instructor was available to students during posted office hours or by appointment	1.95%	1.39%	12.26%	29.53%	51.25%	3.62%	100%
Instructor related the course to other fields							
and/or to life/career situations as	1.050/	4.2007	10.500/	22 240/	E0 700/	2.000/	1000/
appropriate	1.95%	1.39%	10.58%	32.31%	50.70%	3.06%	100%
Instructor gave different point of view Instructor's course assignments were clear	2.51%	3.62%	13.37%	28.69%	47.63%	4.18%	100%
and precise	3.34%	1.67%	7.80%	33.98%	49.03%	4.18%	100%
Instructor's examination questions and							
graded assignments were related to course content	1.67%	1.11%	6.96%	32.31%	54.87%	3.06%	100%
					2.,,3		
Instructor's course examinations/projects	2.700/	2.220/	7.240/	22 240/	F2 270/	2.000/	1000/
were graded and returned in a timely manner	2.79%	2.23%	7.24%	32.31%	52.37%	3.06%	100%
Instructor used class time effectively Instructor was punctual in meeting and	1.67%	1.11%	13.93%	27.30%	51.81%	4.18%	100%
dismissing classes	1.11%	1.11%	20.61%	24.23%	49.58%	3.34%	100%
Instructor treated students with respect	1.95%	1.11%	7.52%	27.58%	58.22%	3.62%	100%
Instructor expected a high level of academic	2.3370	,		27.3070	55.2275	3.3270	_00/0
excellence from students	1.11%	0.84%	8.36%	26.18%	59.33%	4.18%	100%
Overall, the Instructor was a good teacher	2.23%	2.51%	7.24%	22.56%	61.84%	3.62%	100%
,							

College of Health Sciences Percent Instructional Rating

conogo or rioditir colonicos	Otro o colo		1 CI CCIIC	moti actionic	Ctususulus		
Number of students = 456	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Not Answered	Total
My interest in the subject increased	4.17%	3.73%	9.65%	30.92%	49.12%	2.41%	100%
I learned/understood the subject matters	2.85%	1.32%	8.77%	33.55%	51.32%	2.19%	100%
Instructor appeared sincere about teaching	3.51%	1.75%	9.21%	28.07%	55.26%	2.19%	100%
Instructor's style of teaching held my interest The instructor used an electronic medium to	5.04%	3.95%	13.60%	29.39%	45.18%	2.85%	100%
present course material The course format was appropriate for	3.07%	1.75%	8.77%	30.92%	53.73%	1.75%	100%
course content Instructor presented the course material	3.07%	1.97%	7.24%	32.02%	53.51%	2.19%	100%
clearly and logically Instructor implemented the course objectives	3.29%	2.41%	9.43%	27.85%	54.17%	2.85%	100%
and semester plan as outlined in the course							
syllabus Class discussion was encouraged by the	2.85%	1.10%	6.80%	31.14%	56.14%	1.97%	100%
instructor Instructor welcomed students seeking help /	1.97%	1.97%	6.58%	28.29%	59.21%	1.97%	100%
advice	2.85%	2.41%	8.77%	28.51%	55.48%	1.97%	100%
Instructor was available to students during posted office hours or by appointment Instructor related the course to other fields and/or to life/career situations as	2.63%	1.75%	11.84%	30.48%	51.54%	1.75%	100%
appropriate	2.63%	1.97%	9.21%	29.61%	53.73%	2.85%	100%
Instructor gave different point of view Instructor's course assignments were clear	3.07%	3.73%	12.06%	31.58%	47.59%	1.97%	100%
and precise Instructor's examination questions and graded assignments were related to course	3.51%	3.51%	10.53%	27.63%	52.41%	2.41%	100%
content	2.19%	1.97%	7.24%	31.14%	54.82%	2.63%	100%
Instructor's course examinations/projects							
were graded and returned in a timely manner	2.63%	3.07%	9.21%	30.48%	51.75%	2.85%	100%
Instructor used class time effectively Instructor was punctual in meeting and	2.85%	1.10%	11.84%	30.04%	51.54%	2.63%	100%
dismissing classes	2.63%	0.88%	15.35%	29.39%	48.90%	2.85%	100%
Instructor treated students with respect Instructor expected a high level of academic	2.85%	1.32%	8.77%	27.41%	57.02%	2.63%	100%
excellence from students	1.75%	0.88%	8.77%	25.22%	59.87%	3.51%	100%
Overall, the Instructor was a good teacher	3.51%	1.54%	8.11%	23.25%	60.31%	3.29%	100%

College of Liberal Arts	Percent Instructional Rating						
Number of students = 324	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Not Answered	Total
My interest in the subject increased	10.19%	9.26%	24.38%	29.01%	23.46%	3.70%	100%
I learned/understood the subject matters	8.64%	5.25%	20.06%	36.73%	26.54%	2.78%	100%
Instructor appeared sincere about teaching	8.64%	4.94%	12.65%	30.86%	38.27%	4.63%	100%
Instructor's style of teaching held my interest The instructor used an electronic medium to	14.51%	7.10%	17.28%	27.78%	29.94%	3.40%	100%
present course material The course format was appropriate for	6.17%	3.40%	16.98%	33.64%	36.11%	3.70%	100%
course content Instructor presented the course material	9.88%	3.70%	15.12%	34.88%	32.72%	3.70%	100%
clearly and logically Instructor implemented the course objectives	11.42%	5.56%	14.20%	31.79%	33.64%	3.40%	100%
and semester plan as outlined in the course syllabus	5.86%	0.62%	18.21%	38.58%	33.02%	3.70%	100%
Class discussion was encouraged by the instructor	6.48%	4.32%	15.74%	34.26%	34.26%	4.94%	100%
Instructor welcomed students seeking help / advice	7.41%	4.94%	12.96%	30.56%	39.51%	4.63%	100%
Instructor was available to students during posted office hours or by appointment Instructor related the course to other fields and/or to life/career situations as	3.70%	3.40%	16.98%	35.49%	34.88%	5.56%	100%
appropriate	7.72%	4.94%	20.68%	30.25%	31.79%	4.63%	100%
Instructor gave different point of view Instructor's course assignments were clear	9.26%	5.56%	21.91%	29.94%	28.70%	4.63%	100%
and precise Instructor's examination questions and	9.26%	5.86%	14.81%	31.79%	33.95%	4.32%	100%
graded assignments were related to course content	5.86%	2.16%	14.81%	35.80%	36.73%	4.63%	100%
Instructor's course examinations/projects		/		0.4.000/	<b></b>	- 000/	1000/
were graded and returned in a timely manner Instructor used class time effectively	9.57% 7.10%	5.25% 3.70%	13.89% 19.14%	34.88% 29.63%	30.56% 33.33%	5.86% 7.10%	100% 100%
Instructor was punctual in meeting and dismissing classes	5.86%	3.40%	24.69%	29.32%	31.79%	4.94%	100%
Instructor treated students with respect Instructor expected a high level of academic	7.10%	0.93%	15.43%	30.86%	40.12%	5.56%	100%
excellence from students	3.09%	1.23%	13.89%	35.80%	39.20%	6.79%	100%
Overall, the Instructor was a good teacher	8.64%	3.40%	12.96%	26.85%	41.36%	6.79%	100%

## College of Science, Engineering and Technology

Percent Instructional Rating Strongly Strongly Number of students = 250 Disagree Disagree Neutral Agree Agree **Not Answered** Total 6.00% 20.00% 100% My interest in the subject increased 8.40% 25.60% 36.40% 3.60% I learned/understood the subject matters 14.40% 32.40% 4.00% 100% 5.60% 5.20% 38.40% 14.40% 26.40% 46.00% 100% Instructor appeared sincere about teaching 6.00% 3.60% 3.60% Instructor's style of teaching held my interest 7.20% 9.60% 20.40% 22.00% 36.00% 4.80% 100% The instructor used an electronic medium to 2.80% 2.40% 9.20% 30.00% 50.40% 5.20% 100% present course material The course format was appropriate for course content 4.40% 2.80% 12.00% 25.60% 49.20% 6.00% 100% Instructor presented the course material clearly and logically 5.60% 7.20% 13.20% 25.20% 43.20% 5.60% 100% Instructor implemented the course objectives and semester plan as outlined in the course 4.00% 1.60% 8.80% 32.80% 47.20% 5.60% 100% syllabus Class discussion was encouraged by the 6.00% 5.60% 17.60% 28.80% 36.80% 5.20% 100% instructor Instructor welcomed students seeking help / advice 3.60% 3.60% 16.40% 25.60% 44.00% 6.80% 100% Instructor was available to students during posted office hours or by appointment 4.80% 3.20% 20.80% 26.40% 39.20% 5.60% 100% Instructor related the course to other fields and/or to life/career situations as appropriate 6.40% 6.40% 16.40% 24.00% 41.20% 5.60% 100% 6.00% 6.40% 23.20% 38.00% 4.80% 100% Instructor gave different point of view 21.60% Instructor's course assignments were clear and precise 5.60% 4.00% 14.40% 28.80% 42.00% 5.20% 100% Instructor's examination questions and graded assignments were related to course content 2.00% 1.60% 11.60% 32.40% 46.40% 6.00% 100% Instructor's course examinations/projects were graded and returned in a timely manner 4.40% 3.20% 14.00% 25.20% 47.60% 5.60% 100% 4.40% 2.80% 11.20% 29.60% 6.40% 100% Instructor used class time effectively 45.60% Instructor was punctual in meeting and dismissing classes 4.00% 2.80% 16.40% 29.60% 41.20% 6.00% 100% 4.80% 1.60% 26.00% 6.40% 100% Instructor treated students with respect 9.60% 51.60% Instructor expected a high level of academic excellence from students 3.20% 1.20% 10.40% 27.20% 52.40% 5.60% 100% Overall, the Instructor was a good teacher 6.40% 2.40% 8.40% 22.80% 53.60% 6.40% 100%

Division of Graduate Studies	Percent Instructional Rating
------------------------------	------------------------------

	Strongly				Strongly		
Number of students = 36	Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Agree	Not Answered	Total
My interest in the subject increased	0.00%	2.78%	25.00%	16.67%	36.11%	19.44%	100%
My interest in the subject increased	0.00%	2.70/0	23.00%	10.07/0	30.11/0	19.44/0	100%
I learned/understood the subject matters	0.00%	0.00%	25.00%	16.67%	38.89%	19.44%	100%
Instructor appeared sincere about teaching	0.00%	0.00%	27.78%	16.67%	30.56%	25.00%	100%
Instructor's style of teaching held my interest	2.78%	0.00%	27.78%	16.67%	30.56%	22.22%	100%
	2.7070	0.0070	27.7070	10.0770	30.3070	22.22/0	10070
The instructor used an electronic medium to	= = = = :	2 222/				0= 000/	
present course material	5.56%	0.00%	22.22%	11.11%	36.11%	25.00%	100%
The course format was appropriate for							
course content	2.78%	2.78%	22.22%	13.89%	30.56%	27.78%	100%
Instructor presented the course material							
clearly and logically	2.78%	0.00%	25.00%	11.11%	36.11%	25.00%	100%
Instructor implemented the course objectives							
and semester plan as outlined in the course		2 222/	a= =aa/	0.000/		0= 000/	
syllabus	0.00%	0.00%	27.78%	8.33%	38.89%	25.00%	100%
Class discussion was encouraged by the							
instructor	5.56%	0.00%	25.00%	8.33%	36.11%	25.00%	100%
Instructor welcomed students seeking help /							
advice	2.78%	0.00%	25.00%	13.89%	33.33%	25.00%	100%
Instructor was available to students during							
Instructor was available to students during	E E CO/	0.000/	25.000/	42.000/	20 560/	25.000/	4000/
posted office hours or by appointment	5.56%	0.00%	25.00%	13.89%	30.56%	25.00%	100%
Instructor related the course to other fields							
and/or to life/career situations as							
appropriate	2.78%	2.78%	22.22%	13.89%	33.33%	25.00%	100%
Instructor gave different point of view	5.56%	0.00%	25.00%	13.89%	30.56%	25.00%	100%
Instructor's course assignments were clear							
	E E 60/	0.000/	22 220/	12 900/	22 220/	2F 00%	100%
and precise	5.56%	0.00%	22.22%	13.89%	33.33%	25.00%	100%
Instructor's examination questions and							
graded assignments were related to course							
content	0.00%	0.00%	30.56%	11.11%	33.33%	25.00%	100%
Instructor's course examinations/projects							
were graded and returned in a timely manner	0.00%	0.00%	27.78%	11.11%	38.89%	22.22%	100%
Instructor used class time effectively	0.00%	0.00%	27.78%	13.89%	36.11%	22.22%	100%
•	0.00%	0.00%	27.76%	15.69%	30.11%	22.2270	100%
Instructor was punctual in meeting and							
dismissing classes	0.00%	0.00%	27.78%	11.11%	36.11%	25.00%	100%
Instructor treated students with respect	0.00%	0.00%	27.78%	13.89%	36.11%	22.22%	100%
Instructor expected a high level of academic							
excellence from students	0.00%	0.00%	30.56%	11.11%	36.11%	22.22%	100%
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Overall, the Instructor was a good teacher	0.00%	0.00%	27.78%	16.67%	33.33%	22.22%	100%
Overall, the histractor was a good teacher	0.00%	0.00%	21.1070	10.07%	33.3370	ZZ.ZZ70	100%

Division of Undergraduate Studies Percent Instructional Rating

Division of Undergraduate Studies			Percent	Instructiona			
Number of students = 124	Strongly				Strongly		
Number of students – 124	Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Agree	Not Answered	Total
My interest in the subject increased	4.84%	7.26%	44.35%	27.42%	14.52%	1.61%	100%
I leave ad / wada wata ad the acclaim to wattana	4.020/	2.420/	20.070/	40.400/	20.070/	2.420/	1000/
I learned/understood the subject matters	4.03%	2.42%	20.97%	49.19%	20.97%	2.42%	100%
Instructor appeared sincere about teaching	3.23%	4.03%	22.58%	28.23%	40.32%	1.61%	100%
that a state of the office data to be left as the control	7.260/	0.600/	24.400/	25 400/	20.070/	2.420/	4000/
Instructor's style of teaching held my interest The instructor used an electronic medium to	7.26%	9.68%	24.19%	35.48%	20.97%	2.42%	100%
present course material	1.61%	1.61%	10.48%	47.58%	36.29%	2.42%	100%
The course format was appropriate for	1.610/	1.610/	16.040/	47 500/	20.220/	4.020/	1000/
course content Instructor presented the course material	1.61%	1.61%	16.94%	47.58%	28.23%	4.03%	100%
clearly and logically	2.42%	4.84%	16.94%	36.29%	36.29%	3.23%	100%
Instructor implemented the course objectives							
and semester plan as outlined in the course syllabus	1.61%	0.00%	14.52%	47.58%	32.26%	4.03%	100%
Class discussion was encouraged by the	1.01/0	0.0070	11.3270	17.5070	32.2070	1.0070	10070
instructor	1.61%	5.65%	20.16%	36.29%	33.87%	2.42%	100%
Instructor welcomed students seeking help / advice	3.23%	2.42%	10.48%	43.55%	37.10%	3.23%	100%
aunic	3.2370	2.12,0	20.1070	13.3370	37.1070	3.2370	10070
Instructor was available to students during							
posted office hours or by appointment Instructor related the course to other fields	1.61%	1.61%	19.35%	36.29%	37.90%	3.23%	100%
and/or to life/career situations as							
appropriate	1.61%	3.23%	15.32%	50.81%	26.61%	2.42%	100%
Instructor gave different point of view	3.23%	6.45%	23.39%	38.71%	25.00%	3.23%	100%
Instructor's course assignments were clear and precise	4.03%	3.23%	12.90%	48.39%	26.61%	4.84%	100%
Instructor's examination questions and	1.0370	3.2370	12.3070	10.5570	20.0170	1.0 170	10070
graded assignments were related to course							
content	1.61%	0.81%	19.35%	46.77%	28.23%	3.23%	100%
Instructor's course examinations/projects							
were graded and returned in a timely manner	3.23%	0.81%	17.74%	45.16%	31.45%	1.61%	100%
Instructor used class time effectively	1.61%	0.81%	19.35%	40.32%	34.68%	3.23%	100%
Instructor was punctual in meeting and							
dismissing classes	1.61%	4.03%	21.77%	37.10%	30.65%	4.84%	100%
Instructor treated students with respect	3.23%	3.23%	15.32%	38.71%	37.90%	1.61%	100%
Instructor expected a high level of academic	1.640/	0.040/	0.070/	44.430/	45.460/	2.420/	1000/
excellence from students	1.61%	0.81%	8.87%	41.13%	45.16%	2.42%	100%
Overall, the Instructor was a good teacher	1.61%	1.61%	16.94%	33.06%	42.74%	4.03%	100%