# Centrality Measures 

Dr. Natarajan Meghanathan<br>Professor of Computer Science Jackson State University

E-mail: natarajan.meghanathan@jsums.edu

## Centrality

- Tells us which nodes are important in a network based on the topological structure of the network (instead of just looking at the popularity of nodes)
- How influential a person is within a social network
- Which genes play a crucial role in regulating systems and processes
- Infrastructure networks: if the node is removed, it would critically impede the functioning of the network.


Nodes $X$ and $Z$ have higher Degree

Node Y is more central from the point of view of
Betweenness - to reach from
one end to the other
Closeness - can reach every other vertex in the fewest number of hops

## Centrality Measures

- Degree-based Centrality Measures
- Degree Centrality: measure of the number of vertices adjacent to a vertex (degree)
- Eigenvector Centrality: measure of the degree of the vertex as well as the degree of its neighbors
- Shortest-path based Centrality Measures
- Betweeness Centrality: measure of the number of shortest paths a node is part of
- Closeness Centrality: measure of how close is a vertex to the other vertices [sum of the shortest path distances]
- Farness Centrality: captures the variation of the shortest path distances of a vertex to every other vertex


## Degree Centrality



Weakness: Very likely that more than one vertex has the same degree and not possible to uniquely rank the vertices

## Eigenvalue and Eigenvector

- Let A be an nxn matrix.
- A scalar $\lambda$ is called an Eigenvalue of $A$ if there is a nonzero vector $X$ such that $A X=\lambda X$. Such a vector $X$ is called an Eigenvector of $A$ corresponding to $\lambda$.
- Example: 2 is an Eigenvector of $\left.A=\begin{array}{ll}3 & 2 \\ 3 & 2\end{array}\right]$ for $\lambda=4$

An n x n square matrix has ' $n$ ' eigenvalues and the corresponding Eigenvectors

The eigenvector corresponding to the largest eigenvalue is called the Principal Eigenvector

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\begin{array}{cc}
3 & 2 \\
3 & -2
\end{array}\right)\binom{2}{1} \stackrel{?}{=} 4\binom{2}{1} \\
& \binom{3 \cdot 2+2 \cdot 1}{3 \cdot 2+(-2) \cdot 1} \stackrel{?}{=}\binom{8}{4} \\
& \binom{8}{4} \stackrel{V}{=}\binom{8}{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

The largest eigenvalue is also called the Spectral radius

Finding Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{rr}
7 & 3 \\
3 & -1
\end{array}\right]
$$

(1) $\lambda I=\lambda\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda\end{array}\right]$
(2) $A-\lambda I=\left[\begin{array}{cc}7 & 3 \\ 3 & -1\end{array}\right]-\left[\begin{array}{ll}\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda\end{array}\right]$ $=\left[\begin{array}{cc}7-\lambda & 3 \\ 3 & -1-\lambda\end{array}\right]$
(3)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
7-\lambda & 3 \\
3 & -1-\lambda
\end{array}\right] \\
& =(7-\lambda)(-1-\lambda)-(3)(3) \\
& =-7-7 \lambda+\lambda+\lambda^{2}-9 \\
& =\lambda^{2}-6 \lambda-16
\end{aligned}
$$

(4) Solving for $\lambda$ :

$$
(\lambda-8)(\lambda+2)=0
$$

$\lambda=8$ and $\lambda=-2$ are the Eigen values
(5) Consider A- $\operatorname{I}$
(5) $\left[\begin{array}{cc}7-\lambda & 3 \\ 3 & -1-\lambda\end{array}\right]$

$$
\lambda=8:
$$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
7-8 & 3 \\
3 & -1-8
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 3 \\
3 & -9
\end{array}\right]=B
$$

Solve B $X=0$
$\left[\begin{array}{rr}-1 & 3 \\ 3 & -9\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}X 1 \\ X 2\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 0\end{array}\right]$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
-X 1+3 X 2=0 \longrightarrow & X 1=3 X 2 \\
3 X 1-9 X 2=0 \longrightarrow & 3 X 1=9 X 2 \rightarrow X 1=3 X 2
\end{array}
$$

If $X 2=1 ; \quad\left[\begin{array}{l}3 \\ 1\end{array}\right]$ is an eigenvector $\mathrm{X} 1=3$ $\qquad$ for $\boldsymbol{\lambda}=8$

## Eigenvector treation 1

 Centrality (1)
$\left[\begin{array}{llllllll}0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0\end{array}\right] \mathbf{x}\left[\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}3 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 2\end{array}\right] \equiv\left[\begin{array}{l}0.416 \\ 0.277 \\ 0.416 \\ 0.416 \\ 0.277 \\ 0.416 \\ 0.277 \\ 0.277\end{array}\right]$

## Iteration 2

$$
\left[\begin{array}{llllllll}
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right] \mathbf{x}\left[\begin{array}{l}
0.416 \\
0.277 \\
0.416 \\
0.416 \\
0.277 \\
0.416 \\
0.277 \\
0.277
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
1.109 \\
0.832 \\
1.109 \\
1.109 \\
0.832 \\
0.831 \\
0.693 \\
0.693
\end{array}\right] \equiv\left[\begin{array}{l}
0.428 \\
0.321 \\
0.428 \\
0.428 \\
0.321 \\
0.321 \\
0.268 \\
0.268
\end{array}\right]
$$

Normalized Value $=2.59$

## Eigenvector Centrality (2)



Iteration $\mathbf{3}$
$\left[\begin{array}{llllllll}0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0\end{array}\right] \mathbf{x}\left[\begin{array}{l}0.428 \\ 0.321 \\ 0.428 \\ 0.428 \\ 0.321 \\ 0.321 \\ 0.268 \\ 0.268\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}1.177 \\ 0.856 \\ 1.284 \\ 1.177 \\ 0.749 \\ 0.857 \\ 0.589 \\ 0.589\end{array}\right] \equiv\left[\begin{array}{l}0.441 \\ 0.321 \\ 0.481 \\ 0.441 \\ 0.281 \\ 0.321 \\ 0.221 \\ 0.221\end{array}\right]$

Normalized Value $=2.67$
Iteration 4
$\left[\begin{array}{llllllll}0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0\end{array}\right] \mathbf{x}\left[\begin{array}{l}0.441 \\ 0.321 \\ 0.481 \\ 0.441 \\ 0.281 \\ 0.321 \\ 0.221 \\ 0.221\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}1.243 \\ 0.922 \\ 1.203 \\ 1.203 \\ 0.762 \\ 0.723 \\ 0.542 \\ 0.542\end{array}\right] \equiv\left[\begin{array}{l}0.471 \\ 0.349 \\ 0.456 \\ 0.456 \\ 0.289 \\ 0.274 \\ 0.205 \\ 0.205\end{array}\right]$

After 7 iterations

| Vertex ID | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Principal | 0.489 | 0.364 | 0.489 | 0.467 | 0.264 | 0.232 | 0.155 | 0.155 |
| Eigenvector |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## EigenVector Centrality Example (1)



Iteration 1

Let $X O=\left[\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1\end{array}\right]$
Normalized Value $=4.69$

Iteration 2
$\left[\begin{array}{lllll}0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}0.213 \\ 0.426 \\ 0.426 \\ 0.639 \\ 0.426\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}0.426 \\ 0.852 \\ 1.065 \\ 1.278 \\ 1.065\end{array}\right] \equiv\left[\begin{array}{l}0.195 \\ 0.389 \\ 0.486 \\ 0.584 \\ 0.486\end{array}\right]$

Normalized Value $=2.19$

## EigenVector Centrality Example (1)



Iteration 3
$\left[\begin{array}{lllll}0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}0.195 \\ 0.389 \\ 0.486 \\ 0.584 \\ 0.486\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}0.389 \\ 0.779 \\ 1.07 \\ 1.361 \\ 1.07\end{array}\right] \equiv\left[\begin{array}{l}0.176 \\ 0.352 \\ 0.484 \\ 0.616 \\ 0.484\end{array}\right]$

Normalized Value $=2.21$

Let $X 0=\left[\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1\end{array}\right]$

## Iteration 4

$\left[\begin{array}{lllll}0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}0.176 \\ 0.352 \\ 0.484 \\ 0.616 \\ 0.484\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}0.352 \\ 0.792 \\ 1.100 \\ 1.320 \\ 1.100\end{array}\right]$

Normalized Value $=2.21$ converges

Eigen Vector Centrality
$\left.\begin{array}{l|l}1 & 0.176 \\ 2 & 0.352 \\ 3 & \mathbf{0 . 4 8 4} \\ 4 & \mathbf{0 . 6 1 6} \\ 5 & \mathbf{0 . 4 8 4}\end{array}\right]$

## EigenVector Centrality Example (2)



Iteration 1
$\left[\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}2 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 3 \\ 1 \\ 1\end{array}\right] \equiv\left[\begin{array}{l}0.447 \\ 0.447 \\ 0.224 \\ 0.671 \\ 0.224 \\ 0.224\end{array}\right]$

Normalized Value $=4.472$

## Iteration 2

$\left[\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}0.447 \\ 0.447 \\ 0.224 \\ 0.671 \\ 0.224 \\ 0.224\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}0.671 \\ 0.671 \\ 0.447 \\ 0.895 \\ 0.671 \\ 0.671\end{array}\right] \equiv\left[\begin{array}{l}0.401 \\ 0.401 \\ 0.267 \\ 0.535 \\ 0.401 \\ 0.401\end{array}\right]$
Normalized Value $=1.674$

## EigenVector Centrality Example (2)

Iteration 3

$\left[\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}0.401 \\ 0.401 \\ 0.267 \\ 0.535 \\ 0.401 \\ 0.401\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}0.668 \\ 0.936 \\ 0.401 \\ 1.203 \\ 0.535 \\ 0.535\end{array}\right] \equiv\left[\begin{array}{l}0.357 \\ 0.500 \\ 0.214 \\ 0.643 \\ 0.286 \\ 0.286\end{array}\right]$
$\left[\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$

Normalized Value $=1.872$

Iteration 4
$\left[\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}0.357 \\ 0.500 \\ 0.214 \\ 0.643 \\ 0.286 \\ 0.286\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}0.714 \\ 1.000 \\ 0.357 \\ 1.072 \\ 0.643 \\ 0.643\end{array}\right] \equiv\left[\begin{array}{l}0.376 \\ 0.526 \\ 0.188 \\ 0.564 \\ 0.338 \\ 0.338\end{array}\right]$

Normalized Value = 1.901

## EigenVector Centrality Example (2)

Iteration 5


Let $X O=\left[\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1\end{array}\right]$


Node
Ranking
4
2
1
5
6
3

Note that we typically stop when the EigenVector values converge.
For exam purposes, we will Stop when the Normalized value converges.

## Eigen Vector Centrality for Directed Graphs

- For directed graphs, we can use the Eigen Vector centrality to evaluate the "importance" of a node (based on the out-degree Eigen Vector) and the "prestige" of a node (through the in-degree Eigen Vector)
- A node is considered to be more important if it has out-going links to nodes that in turn have a larger out-degree (i.e., more out-going links).
- A node is considered to have a higher "prestige", if it has in-coming links from nodes that themselves have a larger in-degree (i.e., more in-coming


| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Out-going links based Adj. Matrix

Importance of Nodes (Out-deg. Centrality) Node Score 10.5919 $4 \quad 0.4653$
$5 \quad 0.4653$
0.3658
0.2876


In-coming links based Adj. Matrix

Prestige of Nodes (In-deg. Centrality)
Node Score
1
2
5
3
4
0.5919
0.4653
0.4653
0.3658
0.2876

## Closeness and Farness Centrality



## Betweeness Centrality <br> $$
B W C(i)=\sum_{j \neq k k i} \frac{s p_{j k}(i)}{s p_{j k}}
$$

- We will now discuss how to find the total number of shortest paths between any two vertices $j$ and $k$ as well as to find out how many of these shortest paths go through a vertex $i(j \neq k \neq i)$.
- Use Breadth First Search (BFS) to find the shortest path tree from vertex $j$ to every other vertex k
- Root vertex j is at level 0
- Vertices that are 1-hop away from j are at level 1; 2-hops away from j are at level 2, and so on.
- The number of shortest paths from $j$ to a vertex $k$ at level $p$ is the sum of the number of shortest paths from $j$ to the neighbors of $k$ in the original graph that are at level $p-1$
- The number of shortest paths from $j$ to $k$ that go through vertex $i$ is the maximum of the number of shortest paths from $j$ to $i$ and the number of shortest paths from $k$ to $i$.


| Betweeness for Vertex 0 <br> Pair (3,1) --->1/2 <br> Pair (4,1) --->1/2 <br> Pair (5,1) -->>1/2 <br> Pair (6,1) -->>1/2 <br> Pair (7,1) -->>1/2 <br> Total of all Betweeness <br> (Vertex 0): <br> 2.5 |
| :---: |
| Betweeness for Vertex 3 <br> Pair (4,0) --->1 / 1 <br> Pair $(5,0)$-->>1 / 1 <br> Pair $(6,0)$-->>1 / 1 <br> Pair (7,0) -->>1 / 1 <br> Pair $(4,1)-->2 / 2$ <br> Pair $(5,1)-->2 / 2$ <br> Pair $(6,1)-->2 / 2$ <br> Pair $(7,1)-->2 / 2$ <br> Pair $(4,2)$-->>1/1 <br> Pair $(5,2)$-->>1 / 1 <br> Pair $(6,2)-->1 / 1$ <br> Pair $(7,2)$--->1 / 1 <br> Total of all Betweeness <br> (Vertex 3): <br> 12 |

Betweeness for Vertex 2
Pair (3,1) --->1/2
Pair (4,1) --->1 / 2
Pair (5,1) --->1 / 2
Pair (6,1) --->1 / 2
Pair (7,1) --->1/2
Total of all Betweeness (Vertex 2):
2.5

Betweeness for Vertex 4
Pair (5,0) --->1 / 1
Pair ( 6,0 ) -->>1/1
Pair (7,0) --->1 / 1
Pair $(5,1)-->2 / 2$
Pair (6,1) --->2 / 2
Pair $(7,1)-->2 / 2$
Pair (5,2) -->>1 / 1
Pair $(6,2)-->1 / 1$
Pair (7,2) -->>1/1
Pair $(5,3)$--->1 / 1
Pair (6,3) -->>1 / 1
Pair $(7,3) ~--->1 / 1$
Total of all Betweeness
(Vertex 4):
12

\# shortest paths from a to $g$ that go through c is the maximum (\# shortest paths from a to c , \# shortest paths from g to c ) $=\max (2,1)=2$


Levels of Vertices on the BFS tree

\# shortest paths from the root to the other vertices



To determine how many
Shortest paths from nodes 1 to 7 that go through node 4.


To determine how many Shortest paths from nodes
1 to 7 that go through node $4:=\operatorname{Max}(2,1)=2$

## Subgraph Centrality

- The subgraph centrality of a node is a measure of the number of sub graphs a node is part of.
- Gives more importance to the smaller sub graphs
- Measured as the weighted sum of the number of closed walks of particular length $(I=1,2,3, \ldots$ ) that a node is part of. The weights are $1 /$ !!
- For a given adjacency matrix $A, A^{\prime}$ gives the number of closed walks of length / from a vertex to another vertex (incl. itself).

In closed form

$$
\operatorname{SubGC}(i)=\left(e^{A}\right)_{i i}=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left[\varphi_{j}(i)\right]^{2} e^{\lambda_{j}}
$$

where $\varphi_{j}(i)$ is the ith entry of the $j$ th Eigenvector associated with Eigenvalue $\lambda_{j}$

## Subgraph Centrality Example (2)



Node IDs

|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Eigenvalues$\lambda 1=-1.618$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{e}^{\lambda \mathrm{j}} \\ 0.2 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eigenvector entries | 1 | -0.602 | 0.602 | 0 | -0.372 | 0.372 |  |  |
|  | 2 | -0.138 | -0.138 | 0.770 | -0.429 | -0.429 | $\lambda 2=-1.473$ | 0.23 |
|  | 3 | 0.510 | 0.510 | -0.307 | -0.439 | -0.439 | $\lambda 3=-0.463$ | 0.63 |
|  | 4 | -0.372 | 0.372 | 0 | 0.602 | -0.602 | $\lambda 4=0.618$ | 1.852 |
|  | 5 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.559 | 0.351 | 0.351 | $\lambda 5=2.935$ | 18.654 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { SubGC(Node 1) }= & \left\{(-0.602)^{2} * \mathrm{e}^{\wedge}(-1.618)+(-0.138)^{2} \mathrm{e}^{\wedge}(-1.473)+(0.51)^{2} \mathrm{e}^{\wedge}(-0.463)\right. \\
& \left.+(-0.372)^{2} * \mathrm{e}^{\wedge}(0.618)+(0.47)^{2} * \mathrm{e}^{\wedge}(2.935)\right\}=4.62 \\
\hline \text { SubGC(Node 2) }= & \left\{(0.602)^{2 *} \mathrm{e}^{\wedge}(-1.618)+(-0.138)^{2} \mathrm{e}^{\wedge}(-1.473)+(0.510)^{2} \mathrm{e}^{\wedge}(-0.463)\right. \\
& \left.+(0.372)^{2}{ }^{*} \mathrm{e}^{\wedge}(0.618)+(0.47)^{2}{ }^{\wedge} \mathrm{e}^{\wedge}(2.935)\right\}=\mathbf{4 . 6 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Node IDs

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Eigenvalues | $\mathrm{e}^{\lambda \mathrm{j}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -0.602 | 0.602 | 0 | -0.372 | 0.372 | $\lambda 1=-1.618$ | 0.2 |
| 2 | -0.138 | -0.138 | 0.770 | -0.429 | -0.429 | $\lambda 2=-1.473$ | 0.23 |
|  | 0.510 | 0.510 | -0.307 | -0.439 | -0.439 | $\lambda 3=-0.463$ | 0.63 |
| 3 | -0.372 | 0.372 | 0 | 0.602 | -0.602 | $\lambda 4=0.618$ | 1.852 |
| 5 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.559 | 0.351 | 0.351 | $\lambda 5=2.935$ | 18.654 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} \text { SubGC(Node 3) }= & \left\{(0)^{2} * \mathrm{e}^{\wedge}(-1.618)+(0.770)^{2} \mathrm{e}^{\wedge}(-1.473)+(-0.307)^{2} \mathrm{e}^{\wedge}(-0.463)\right. \\ & \left.+(0)^{2} * \mathrm{e}^{\wedge}(0.618)+(0.559)^{2} * \mathrm{e}^{\wedge}(2.935)\right\}=\mathbf{6 . 0 2} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SubGC(Node 4) }=\left\{(-0.372)^{2} * \mathrm{e}^{\wedge}(-1.618)+(-0.429)^{2} \mathrm{e}^{\wedge}(-1.473)+(-0.439)^{2} \mathrm{e}^{\wedge}(-0.463)\right. \\ &+(0.602)^{2}{ }^{\wedge} \mathrm{e}^{\wedge}(0.618)+(0.351)^{2}{ }^{\wedge} \mathrm{e}^{(2.935)\}=3.16} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SubGC(Node 5) }=\left\{(0.372)^{2} * \mathrm{e}^{\wedge}(-1.618)+(-0.429)^{2} \mathrm{e}^{\wedge}(-1.473)+(-0.439)^{2} \mathrm{e}^{\wedge}(-0.463)\right. \\ &\left.+(-0.602)^{2} \text { * } \mathrm{e}^{\wedge}(0.618)+(0.351)^{2} * \mathrm{e}^{\wedge}(2.935)\right\}=3.16 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\left.\begin{array}{l} \text { Average } \\ \text { Subgraph } \\ \text { Centrality } \end{array} S C\right\rangle=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} S C(i)=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} e^{\lambda_{i}}$ |  |  |  |

## Centrality Correlations

## Network Graphs Analyzed (1)

- (i) Zachary's Karate Club: Social network of friendships (78 edges) between 34 members of a karate club at a US university in the 1970s
- (ii) Dolphins' Social Network: An undirected social network of frequent associations (159 edges) between 62 dolphins in a community living off Doubtful Sound, New Zealand
- (iii) US Politics Books Network: Nodes represent a total of 105 books about US politics sold by the online bookseller Amazon.com.
- A total of 441 edges represent frequent co-purchasing of books by the same buyers, as indicated by the "customers who bought this book also bought these other books" feature on Amazon


## Network Graphs Analyzed (2)

- (iv) Word Adjacencies Network: This is a word co-appearance network representing adjacencies of common adjective and noun in the novel
"David Copperfield" by Charles Dickens.
- A total of 112 nodes represent the most commonly occurring adjectives and nouns in the book. A total of 425 edges connect any pair of words that occur in adjacent position in the text of the book
- (v) US College Football Network: Network represents the teams that played in the Fall 2000 season of the US College Football games and their previous rivalry - nodes ( 115 nodes) are college teams and there is an edge (613 edges) between two nodes if and only if the corresponding teams have competed against each other earlier
- (vi) US Airports 1997 Network: A network of 332 airports in the United States (as of year 1997) wherein the vertices are the airports and two airports are connected with an edge (a total of 2126 edges) if there is at least one direct flight between them in both the directions.
- Spectral radius ratio: Ratio of spectral radius (largest Eigenvalue based on the Adjacency matrix) to the average node degree.







## Real-World Networks

| Network <br> Index | Real-World Network Graph | \# Nodes | \# Edges | Spectral Radius <br> Degree Ratio |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (i) | Zachary's Karate Club Network | 34 | 78 | 1.46 |
| (ii) | Dolphins' Social Network | 62 | 159 | 1.40 |
| (iii) | US Politics Books Network | 105 | 441 | 1.41 |
| (iv) | Word Adjacencies Network | 112 | 425 | 1.73 |
| (v) | American College Football Network | 115 | 613 | 1.01 |
| (vi) | US Airports 1997 Network | 332 | 2126 | 3.22 |

## Correlation Coefficient

$$
\operatorname{CorrCoeff(X,Y)=\frac {\sum _{D=1}^{n}(X[ID]-\overline {X})^{*}(Y[ID]-\overline {Y})}{\sqrt {\sum _{D=1}^{N}(X[ID]-\overline {X})^{2}}\sqrt {\sum _{D=1}^{N}(Y[ID]-\overline {Y})^{2}}}} \begin{array}{ll}
\text { High: } \geq \mathbf{0 . 7 5} \\
& \text { Moderate: } \\
& \text { Low } \mathbf{0} \mathbf{0 . 5 0 . 5 0}
\end{array}
$$

Correlation Coefficients: Centrality Metrics for Real-World Network Graphs (Networks listed in the increasing order of Number of Nodes)

| Net <br> \# | Deg <br> EVC | Deg <br> BWC | Deg <br> ClC | Deg <br> FarC | EVC <br> BWC | EVC <br> ClC | EVC <br> FarC | BWC <br> ClC | BWC <br> FarC | ClC <br> FarC |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (i) | 0.90 | 0.92 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.79 | 0.91 | 0.90 | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.99 |
| (ii) | 0.77 | 0.60 | 0.71 | 0.73 | 0.33 | 0.71 | 0.68 | 0.67 | 0.71 | 0.99 |
| (iii) | 0.93 | 0.71 | 0.58 | 0.59 | 0.58 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.78 | 0.79 | 0.99 |
| (iv) | 0.95 | 0.92 | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.82 | 0.93 | 0.92 | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.99 |
| (v) | 0.87 | 0.28 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.19 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.82 | 0.83 | 0.99 |
| (vi) | 0.95 | 0.70 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.52 | 0.85 | 0.84 | 0.49 | 0.51 | 0.99 |

Correlation Coefficients: Centrality Metrics for Real-World Network Graphs (Networks listed in the increasing order of Spectral Radius Degree Ratio)

| Net <br> $\#$ | Deg <br> EVC | Deg <br> BWC | Deg <br> ClC | Deg <br> FarC | EVC <br> BWC | EVC <br> ClC | EVC <br> FarC | BWC <br> ClC | BWC <br> FarC | ClC <br> FarC |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (v) | 0.87 | 0.28 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.19 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.82 | 0.83 | 0.99 |
| (ii) | 0.77 | 0.60 | 0.71 | 0.73 | 0.33 | 0.71 | 0.68 | 0.67 | 0.71 | 0.99 |
| (iii) | 0.93 | 0.71 | 0.58 | 0.59 | 0.58 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.78 | 0.79 | 0.99 |
| (i) | 0.90 | 0.92 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.79 | 0.91 | 0.90 | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.99 |
| (iv) | 0.95 | 0.92 | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.82 | 0.93 | 0.92 | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.99 |
| (vi) | 0.95 | 0.70 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.52 | 0.85 | 0.84 | 0.49 | 0.51 | 0.99 |

## Observations: Centrality Correlations

- The degree-based centrality metrics (degree and Eigenvector centralities) are consistently highly correlated for all the six real-world network graphs considered.
- Likewise, though the shortest path-based centrality metrics are only moderately correlated for most of the real-world network graphs, we observe such a correlation to be consistent across the network graphs without much variation in the correlation coefficient values.
- The level of correlation between a degree-based centrality metric and a shortest path-based centrality metric increases with increase in variation of node degree:
- the two classes of metrics are poorly correlated in regular/random networks and are at the low-end of moderate-level of correlation for real-world networks that are less scale-free.
- As the real-world networks get more scale-free, the level of correlation between the two classes of centrality metrics is likely to increase.
- The shortest path-based centrality metrics correlate better for regular/random networks and the level of correlation decreases as the networks get increasingly scale-free.


## Link Analysis-based Ranking

- We want to rank a node in a graph based on the number of edges pointing to it and/or leaving it as well as based on the rank of the nodes at the other end of these edges.
- Used primarily in web search
- We model the web as a graph: the pages as nodes and the edges are directed edges - a page citing (having a link to) another page.
- Hubs and Authorities (HITS) algorithm
- PageRank algorithm


## Hypertext Induced Topic Search (HITS) Algorithm

- Hub: Node that points to lots of pages
- Yahoo like directory
- Authority: Node to which several other nodes point to - The larger the number of nodes pointing to a node, the more authoritative is the view presented by a node on a particular subject
- The HITS algorithm assigns two scores for each page:
- Authority: an estimate of the value of the contents of the page
- Hub: an estimate of the value of its links to other pages
- A page is considered to be more authoritative if it is referenced by many hub pages that are relevant to a search query
- A page is a hub page for a search query if it points to many authoritative pages for that query
- Good authoritative and hub pages reinforce one another.


A variant of HITS is used by Ask.com

## Finding Pages for a Query in HITS

- Initial Work
- Step 1: Submit query $q$ to a similarity-based engine and record the top $n$, i.e., the root set RS(q) pages.
- Step 2: Expand set RS(q) into the base set BS(q) to include pages pointed by RS(q) pages
- Step 3: Also include into BS(q), the pages pointing to RS(q) pages.
- Run the HITS algorithm
- For each page pj, compute the authority and hub score of pj through a sequence of iterations.
- After obtaining the final authority and hub scores for each page, display the search results in the decreasing order of the authority scores. Pages having zero authority scores (nodes with no incoming links - strictly hubs) are listed in the decreasing order of their hub scores.
- Note: nodes that are strictly hubs still contribute to the authority of the nodes that it points to.


## HITS Algorithm

- Let $E$ be the set of links in $\mathrm{BS}(\mathrm{q})$ and a link from page pi to pj is denoted by the pair (i, j).
- A: Authority Update Step

$$
a\left(p_{j}\right)=\sum_{(i, j) \in E} h\left(p_{i}\right)
$$

## H: Hub Update Step

$$
h\left(p_{j}\right)=\sum_{(j, k) \in E} a\left(p_{k}\right)
$$

- After each iteration i , we scale the ' a ' and ' h ' values:


$$
h^{(i)}\left(p_{j}\right)=\frac{h^{(i)}\left(p_{j}\right)}{\sqrt{\sum_{k}\left(h^{(i)}\left(p_{k}\right)\right)^{2}}}
$$

- As can be noted above, the two steps are interwined: one uses the values computed from the other.
- In this course, we will follow the asynchronous mode of computation, according to which the authority values are updated first for a given iteration $i$ and then the hub values are updated.
- The hub values at iteration $i$ are using the authority values just computed in iteration $i$ (rather than iteration $i-1$ ).


## HITS Example (1)



## HITS Example (2)


$\left.\begin{array}{|lllll|}\left.\hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Initial } \\ \mathrm{a}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}1 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right. \\ \hline\end{array}\right] & & \mathrm{h}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}1 & 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right]\end{array}\right]$


## HITS Example (4)

- Assume 'x' web-pages point to page $X$ and ' $y$ ' pages point to page $Y$, where $x$ >> $y$. What happens with the hubs and authority values of X and Y respectively?
Assume no normalization is done at the end of each iteration.
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\mathbf{a}=\left[\begin{array}{llllllllllll}8 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right] \\ \mathbf{h}=\left[\begin{array}{lllllllllll}0 & 0 & 8 & 8 & 8 & 8 & 8 & 8 & 8 & 8 & 2\end{array}\right.\end{array}\right]$


## It \# 2

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{a}=\left[\begin{array}{lllllllllll}
64 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \\
& h=\left[\begin{array}{lllllllll}
0 & 0 & 64 & 64 & 64 & 64 & \ldots & 64 & 4
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

We can notice that with each iteration $i$, the ratio of the authority values of $X$ and $Y$ is proportional to $(x / y)^{\wedge}$. After a while, $X$ will completely dominate $Y$. There is no change in the hub values of $X$ and $Y$ though.

## PageRank

- The basic idea is to analyze the link structure of the web to figure out which pages are more authoritative (important) in terms of quality.
- It is a content-independent scheme.
- If Page A has a hyperlink to Page B, it can be considered as a vote of $A$ for $B$.
- If multiple pages link to $B$, then page $B$ is likely to be a good page.
- A page is likely to be good if several other good pages link to it (a bit of recursive definition).
- Not all pages that link to $B$ are of equal importance.
- A single link from CNN or Yahoo may be worth several times
- The web pages are first searched based on the content. The retrieved web pages are then listed based on their rank (computed on the original web, unlike HITS that is run on a graph of the retrieved pages).
- The Page Rank of the web pages are indexed (recomputed) for every regular time period.


## PageRank

## (Random Web Surfer)

- Web - graph of pages with the hyperlinks as directed edges.
- Analogy used to explain PageRank algorithm (Random Web Surfer)
- User starts browsing on a random page
- Picks a random out-going link listed in that page and goes there (with a probability ' $d$ ', also called damping factor)
- Repeated forever
- The surfer jumps to a random page with probability 1 -d.
- Without this characteristic, there could be a possibility that someone could just end up oscillating between two pages B and C as in the traversing sequence below for the graph shown aside:

$$
\mathrm{G} \rightarrow \mathrm{E} \rightarrow \mathrm{~F} \rightarrow \mathrm{E} \rightarrow \mathrm{D} \rightarrow \mathrm{~B} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}
$$



Lets say $d=0.85$.
To decide the next page to move, the surfer simply generates a random number, $r$. If $r<=0.85$, then the surfer randomly chooses an out-going link from the existing page. Otherwise, jumps to a randomly chosen page among all the pages, including the current page.

## PageRank Algorithm

- PageRank of Page $X$ is the probability that the surfer is at page $X$ at a randomly selected time.
- Basically the proportion of time, the surfer would spend at page $X$.
- PageRank Algorithm
- Initial: Every node in the graph gets the same pagerank. $\mathrm{PR}(\mathrm{X})=100 \% /$ N , where N is the number of nodes.
- At any time, at the end of each iteration, the page rank of all nodes add up to $100 \%$.
- Actually, the initial pagerank value of a node is the pagerank at any time, if there are no edges in the graph. We have $100 \%$ / N chance of jumping to


Initial PageRank of Nodes any node in the graph at any time.

## PageRank Algorithm

## Page Rank of Node X <br> $$
P R(x)=\frac{(1-d)^{*} 100}{N}+d \sum_{y \rightarrow>x} \frac{P R(y)}{\operatorname{Out}(y)}
$$

- Page Rank of Node X is the probability of being at node X at the current time.
- How can we visit node $X$ from where we are?
- (1-d) term: Random Jump: The probability of ending up at node $X$ because of a random jump from some node, including node $X$, is $1 / \mathrm{N}$.
- However, such a random jump itself could occur with a probability of (1-d).
- This amounts to a probability of (1-d)/N to be at node X due to a random jump.


## PageRank Algorithm

## Page Rank of Node X <br> $$
P R(x)=\frac{(1-d) * 100}{N}+d \sum_{y \rightarrow x} \frac{P R(y)}{\operatorname{Out}(y)}
$$ <br> Assuming there are NO Sink nodes

- Page Rank of Node $X$ is the probability of being at node $X$ at the current time.
- How can we visit node $X$ from where we are?
- d term: Edge Traversal from a Neighbor:
- We could visit node X from one of the nodes that point to node X.
- Lets say, we are at node $Y$ in the previous iteration. The probability of being at node Y in the previous iteration is $\mathrm{PR}(\mathrm{Y})$. We can visit any of Y's neighbors.
- The probability of visiting node $X$ among the Out $(Y)$ out-going links of node Y is $\mathrm{PR}(\mathrm{Y})$ * $(1 / \operatorname{Out}(\mathrm{Y}))=\mathrm{PR}(\mathrm{Y}) / \operatorname{Out}(\mathrm{Y})$.
- Likewise, we could visit $X$ from any of its neighbors.
- All the probabilities of visiting $X$ from any of its neighbors have to be added, because visiting X from any of its neighbors is independent of the neighbors.
- The whole event of visiting from a neighbor occurs with a prob. 'd'


## PageRank

- Since Page Rank $\operatorname{PR}(X)$ denotes the probability of being at node $X$ at any time, the sum of the Page Ranks of all the nodes at any time should be equal to 1 .
- We can also interpret the traversal from a node $Y$ to node $X$ as node $Y$ contributing a part of its PR to node $X$ (node $Y$ equally shares its PR to the nodes connected to it through its out-going links).
- Implementation:
- Note that (unlike HITS) we need to use the page rank values of the nodes from the previous iteration to update the page rank values of the nodes in the current iteration.
- Need to maintain two arrays at any time t: $\mathbf{P R}^{(\mathrm{t}-1)}$ and $\mathrm{PR}^{(\mathrm{t})}$


## Initial PageRank

 of Nodes

Assume the damping factor $\mathrm{d}=0.85$
For any iteration,

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
\operatorname{PR}(\mathrm{B})=0.15 * & 9.1+ \\
0.85 *[\mathrm{PR}(\mathrm{C})+1 / 2 \mathrm{PR}(\mathrm{D})+ \\
& 1 / 3 \operatorname{PR}(\mathrm{E})+1 / 2 \operatorname{PR}(\mathrm{~F})+ \\
& 1 / 2 \operatorname{PR}(\mathrm{G})+1 / 2 \operatorname{PR}(\mathrm{H})+1 / 2 \operatorname{PR}(\mathrm{I})]
\end{array}
$$

## Calculating PageRank of



For Iteration 1, Substituting the PR values of the nodes (initial values), we get $\mathrm{PR}(\mathrm{B}) \approx 31$

## Final PageRank Values for the Sample Graph



## PageRank: More Observations

- Algorithm converges (few iterations sufficient)
- For an arbitrary graph, it is pretty difficult to figure out the final page rank values of the nodes.
- Certain inferences could be however made.
- For our sample graph:
- For nodes that do not have any in-links pointing to them, the only way we will end up at these nodes is through a random jump: this happens with a probability (1-d)/N. In our case, it is $(1-0.85)^{*} 100 / 11=1.6 \%$.
- Two nodes with links from the same node (symmetric in-links) have the same PR. (nodes D and F) and it will be higher than those nodes without any in-links.
- One in-link from a node with high PR value contributes significantly to the PR value of a node compared to the in-links from several low PR nodes.
- In our sample graph, an in-link from node B contributes significantly for node C compared to the several in-links that node E gets from the low-PR nodes. So, the quality of the in-links matters more than the number of in-links.


Note that there are NO sink nodes (nodes without any out-going links)

Assume damping Factor d $=0.85$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{PR}(A)=(1-d)^{\star} 100 / 4 \\
& P R(B)=(1-d)^{*} 100 / 4+d^{\star}[P R(A)+1 / 2 * P R(C)+P R(D)] \\
& P R(C)=(1-d)^{*} 100 / 4+d^{\star}[P R(B)] \\
& P R(D)=(1-d)^{*} 100 / 4+d^{\star}\left[1 / 2^{*} P R(C)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

| Initial |
| :--- |
| PR(A) $=25$ |
| PR(B) $=25$ |
| PR(C) $=25$ |
| PR(D) $=25$ |


| $\operatorname{lt} \# 1$ |
| :--- |
| $\operatorname{PR}(A)=3.75$ |
| $\operatorname{PR}(B)=56.88$ |
| $\operatorname{PR}(C)=25$ |
| $\operatorname{PR}(D)=14.38$ |


| It \# 2 |
| :--- |
| PR(A) $=3.75$ |
| PR(B) $=29.79$ |
| PR(C) $=52.10$ |
| PR(D) $=14.38$ |


| It \# 3 |
| :--- |
| PR $(A)=3.75$ |
| $\mathrm{PR}(B)=41.30$ |
| $\mathrm{PR}(\mathrm{C})=29.07$ |
| $\mathrm{PR}(\mathrm{D})=25.89$ |

It \# 4
$\operatorname{PR}(A)=3.75$
$P R(B)=41.29$
$\operatorname{PR}(\mathrm{C})=38.86$
$P R(D)=16.10$

| It \# 5 |
| :--- |
| $\operatorname{PR}(A)=3.75$ |
| $\operatorname{PR}(B)=37.14$ |
| $\operatorname{PR}(C)=38.85$ |
| $\operatorname{PR}(D)=20.27$ |

It \# 6
$\operatorname{PR}(A)=3.75$
$P R(B)=40.68$
$\operatorname{PR}(\mathrm{C})=35.32$
$\operatorname{PR}(\mathrm{D})=20.26$

It \# 7
$\operatorname{PR}(A)=3.75$
$\operatorname{PR}(\mathrm{B})=39.17$
$\mathrm{PR}(\mathrm{C})=38.33$
$\operatorname{PR}(\mathrm{D})=18.76$

It \# 8
$\operatorname{PR}(A)=3.75$
$\operatorname{PR}(B)=39.17$
$P R(C)=37.04$
$P R(D)=20.04$

It \# 9
$\operatorname{PR}(A)=3.75$
$\operatorname{PR}(B)=39.71$
$P R(C)=37.04$
$P R(D)=19.49$
It \# 10
PR(A) $=3.75$
PR(B) $=39.25$
PR(C) $=37.5$
PR(D) $=19.49$

Ranking B C
D
Page Rank Example (1)

## Page Rank: Graph with Sink Nodes Motivating Example

- Consider the graph: $\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$
- Let d=0.85
- $\operatorname{PR}(A)=0.15^{*} 100 / 2 \quad \operatorname{PR}(B)=0.15^{*} 100 / 2+0.85^{*} P R(A)$
- Initial: $\operatorname{PR}(A)=50, \operatorname{PR}(B)=50$
- Iteration 1:
$-\operatorname{PR}(\mathrm{A})=0.15^{*} 100 / 2=7.5$
$-\operatorname{PR}(B)=0.15^{*} 100 / 2+0.85 * 50=50.0$
$-\mathrm{PR}(\mathrm{A})+\mathrm{PR}(\mathrm{B})=57.5$
- Note that the PR values do not add up to 100.
- This is because, $B$ is not giving back the PR that it receives from $A$ to any other node in the graph. The $(0.85 * 50=42.5)$ value of $P R$ that $B$ receives from $A$ is basically lost.
- Once we get to $B$, there is no way to get out of $B$ other than random jump to A and this happens only with probability (1-d).


## Page Rank: Sink Nodes (Solution)

- Assume implicitly that the sink node is connected to every node in the graph (including itself).
- The sink node equally shares its PR with every node in the graph, including itself.
- If $z$ is a sink node, with the above scheme, out( $z$ ) $=N$, the number of nodes in the graph.
- The probability of getting to node $X$ at a given time is still the two terms below:
- Random jump from any node (probability, 1-d)
- Visit from a node with in-link to node X (probability, d)


## Page Rank of Node X

$$
P R(x)=\frac{(1-d) * 100}{N}+d \sum_{y \rightarrow>x} \frac{P R(y)}{O u t(y)}+\frac{d}{N} \sum_{z \rightarrow \varphi} P R(z)
$$

Explicit out-going links to certain nodes

Implicit out-going links to all nodes (sink nodes)
the second term of the original Page Rank formula is now broken between that of nodes with explicit out-going links to one or more selected nodes and the sink nodes with implicit out-going links to all nodes.

## Consolidated PageRank Formula

$$
P R(x)=\frac{(1-d) * 100}{N}+d \sum_{y \rightarrow x} \frac{P R(y)}{\operatorname{Out}(y)}+\frac{d}{N} \sum_{z \rightarrow \varphi} P R(z)
$$

## Page Rank Example (2)



## Page Rank Example (3)



```
PR(A) = (1-d)*100/4 + d*[1/2*PR(B) + 1/2*PR(C) + PR(D)]
PR(B) = (1-d)*100/4 + d*[PR(A)]
PR(C) = (1-d)*100/4 + d*[1/2*PR(B)]
PR(D) = (1-d)*100/4 + d*[1/2*PR(C)]
```

| Initial |  | It \# 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PR(A) | 25 | PR(A) | 46.25 |
| PR(B) | 25 | PR(B) | 25 |
| PR(C) | 25 | PR(C) | 14.38 |
| PR(D) | 25 | PR(D) | 14.38 |


| It \# 2 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| PR(A) | 32.71 |
| PR(B) | 43.06 |
| PR(C) | 14.38 |
| PR(D) | 9.86 |


| It \# 3 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| PR(A) | 36.54 |
| PR(B) | 31.55 |
| PR(C) | 22.05 |
| PR(D) | 9.86 |

It \# 4
PR(A) 34.91
PR(B) 34.81
PR(C) 17.16
PR(D) 13.12

| It \# 5 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| PR(A) | 36.99 |
| PR(B) | 33.42 |
| PR(C) | 18.54 |
| PR(D) | 11.04 |


| It \# 6 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| PR(A) | 35.22 |
| PR(B) | 35.12 |
| PR(C) | 17.95 |
| PR(D) | 11.63 |


| It \# 7 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| PR(A) | 36.19 |
| PR(B) | 33.68 |
| PR(C) | 18.68 |
| PR(D) | 11.38 |


| It \# 8 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| PR(A) | 35.68 |
| PR(B) | 34.51 |
| PR(C) | 18.06 |
| PR(D) | 11.69 |


| It \# 9 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| PR(A) | 36.03 |
| PR(B) | 34.08 |
| PR(C) | 18.42 |
| PR(D) | 11.43 |

Node Ranking: A B C D

## Computing Huffman Codes for Nodes using their PageRank Values

| A | 3.3 | B | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B | 38.4 | C | 11 |
| C | 34.3 | K | 10000 |
| D | 3.9 | I | 100010 |
| E | 8.1 | J | 100011 |
| F | 3.9 | A | 10011 |
| G | 1.6 | G | 100100 |
| H | 1.6 | H | 100101 |
| I | 1.6 | D | 10100 |
| J | 1.6 | F | 10101 |
| K | 1.6 | E | 1011 |



HEBC
1001011011011

The Huffman codes could be used to efficiently represent paths and frequently used links in the network


