

SYMPOSIUM

Implicit Racial Attitude Measures in Black Samples: IAT, Subliminal Priming, and Implicit Black Identification

Byron D'Andra Orey, *Jackson State University*

Thomas Craemer, *University of Connecticut*

Melanye Price, *Rutgers University, New Brunswick*

One of the shortcomings of the implicit racial attitudes literature is that it relies almost exclusively on white subjects. Arguably, there are two possible reasons for this. First, these measures were created to address issues of social desirability among whites who harbor negative racial attitudes toward blacks. Second, social desirability pressures and antiblack affect were not viewed as significant among black respondents (see Craemer 2008). This assumption is problematic because it treats black racial attitudes as a monolith. Rather than examining black racial opinion as a complicated and multivalenced set of evaluations about their own group and others, there has been an overemphasis on measures of group solidarity (e.g., linked fate). Understandably, bloc voting and cohesive policy opinions have partially justified this focus; however, the black community is more diverse than presidential election turnout suggest. Price (2009) argues that linked fate, the most common measure for black racial identity, is not adequately problematized as a potentially positive or negative measure of psychological attachment. Here, we hope to build on this literature by using an implicit black identity measure.

Also, much of the research on Black racial attitudes has focused almost entirely on explicit measures of racial solidarity. Here we examine both explicit and implicit measures of some form of antiblack sentiments. We argue that despite differing vantage points, blacks and whites are exposed to the same prevailing stereotypes thus there should be some expectation that Blacks have internalized some anti-black affect. Knowing how this is incorporated into their collective outlook and into individual political decisions is important. Indeed, Orey et al. (2012; see also Orey 2004; and Orey 2003), when applying the racial resentment/symbolic racism (see e.g., Kinder and Sanders 1996) variable to blacks, find that blacks who resent other blacks are more likely to oppose affirmative action policies, reparations, and welfare. Moreover, it is well established that blacks are also influenced by social desirability pressures. Indeed, scholars examining race of the interviewer effects demonstrated that blacks are more reluctant to offer anti-black responses when they perceive their interviewer to be white (Davis 1997).

Lastly, in most surveys, the dearth of black respondents makes it nearly impossible to conduct meaningful statistical analyses. Here, we use data collected primarily from historically black colleges and universities (HBCUs). The use of college students in social science research is fairly standardized; however, HBCUs have been underutilized as a concentrated site for accessing black subjects. We argue for the increased use of black subject pools and suggest that scholars turn to HBCUs as the source of those subject pools. These institutions represent a nascent resource for scholars and allow for a more robust analysis of black opinions on race and racism.

DATA AND METHODS

Our nonprobability sample of students at HBCUs was obtained over the Internet at the invitation of the first author. The majority of respondents in this study were students at Jackson State University (61.6%), and 1.6% from other HBCUs. The remaining students were from other minority-serving institutions like California State University at Northridge (29.2%) and Texas A&M International University (7.6%).¹ In terms of racial self-classification, students were able to select multiple racial or ethnic categories. Two-hundred and ninety three students (64.4%) of the sample used "Black or African American" at least as one option, while 35.6% did not. 50.8% of the respondents described themselves as black non-Hispanic, 2.6% as black Hispanic, and 11% as multiracial including "Black or African American." In this article we focus only on the 293 respondents that used "Black or African American" as at least one option to describe themselves.

HBCU participants logged on to an online questionnaire programmed in Inquisit by Millisecond.com, a specialized software package for precision reaction-time measurement. To prevent variations of data transmission speed on the Internet from interfering with the accuracy of reaction time measurements, the Inquisit software is downloaded on the user's computer. There, the software temporarily takes over the computer's task prioritizing functions to prevent any background processes from compromising the precision of the reaction time measures. De Clercq et al. (2003, 109) have independently assessed the accuracy of Inquisit's reaction time

Symposium: Implicit Attitudes in Political Science Research

measures and found these to be within fractions of a single millisecond (standard deviation 0.3–0.4 ms, De Clercq et al. 2003, 113). Participants completed three reaction-time procedures to measure implicit racial associations and answered explicit survey questions regarding their racial and political attitudes.

The reaction time procedures were the implicit association test (IAT, Greenwald et al. 1998), subliminal racial priming, and an implicit Black identification measure (Craemer 2010). The IAT and subliminal racial priming exploit the same phenomenon, that positive or negative associations with racial groups influence reaction times by which respondents recognize positive or negative race-unrelated words (like “joy” or “sad”). A racial stimulus is presented on a computer screen followed by a race unrelated word and the respondent is asked to classify this word as either positive or negative. One of the main differences between the two measures is that the IAT requires that respondents pay conscious attention to the racial stimulus while subliminal racial priming displays it only for a split second to minimize conscious perception (≤ 50 ms). Another difference is that the IAT only allows measuring relative pro-black vs. pro-white associations, while subliminal racial priming measures separate pro- vs. anti-black and pro- vs. anti-white associations.

The implicit black identification measure fundamentally differs from the other two reaction time procedures and is much less intuitive (for a detailed description see Craemer 2008). It exploits the phenomenon of mental “self-other overlap” when an individual identifies with a group (Coats et al. 2000). No groups are mentioned; instead the respondent describes himself or herself as an individual as quickly as possible based on 90 trait-adjectives that appear on the computer screen in random order. The respondent is asked to press one key if the trait is self-descriptive and another if it is not. Mental “self-other overlap” ensures that a respondent who identifies with a group will recognize shared traits much faster than unshared ones. No such reaction-time effect occurs if the respondent does not identify with the group. The degree to which the respondent views each of the traits as shared or unshared is ascertained independently at the end of the study.

We created two dependent variables: opposition to government efforts on behalf of minorities and pride in President Obama. *Opposition to government efforts on behalf of minorities*

Table 1

Predicting Racialized Opinions among Black HBCU Students ($n = 293$)

	MODEL 1 OPPOSITION TO GOVERNMENT EFFORT FOR MINORITIES			MODEL 2 PRIDE IN PRESIDENT OBAMA		
	Coef.	s.e.	Sig.	Coef.	s.e.	Sig.
Racial Resentment	3.045	0.930	0.001	-2.862	1.155	0.013
Black Stereotypes	-1.022	1.152	0.375	-0.301	1.287	0.815
Implicit Black Identification	-5.897	2.386	0.013	5.510	3.016	0.068
Pro-Black Subliminal Priming	-8.138	4.388	0.064	4.721	2.702	0.081
Pro-White Subliminal Priming	-0.045	3.646	0.990	-4.313	4.549	0.343
Pro-Black vs. White IAT	-1.049	1.546	0.498	1.728	1.659	0.298
Linked Fate	0.325	0.544	0.550	0.918	0.626	0.143
Ideology (lib. to cons.)	-1.136	0.597	0.057	0.330	0.686	0.631
Democrat PID	-0.369	0.311	0.236	1.477	0.391	0.000
Income	0.107	0.321	0.738	0.167	0.373	0.655
Education	0.111	0.386	0.774	0.698	0.415	0.093
Male	0.148	0.324	0.648	-0.284	0.367	0.440
Nagelkerke R ²	0.181			0.253		
-2 Log Likelihood	392.423			294.281		
χ^2	29.394			40.062		
Sig.	0.003			0.000		

Note: Estimation Method: Ordered Logit, all variables range from 0 to 1 for comparability; cut-point estimates omitted.

was measured using the following Likert item: “The government should not make any special effort to help Blacks and other minorities because they should help themselves—do you do you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree?” (Reverse coded). *Pride in President Obama* is operationalized based on the following Likert item: “How often has Barack Obama, because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel proud?” The response options were “most often,” “some of the time,” “only now and then,” and “hardly at all” and were reverse coded so that greater numbers indicate more pride.

Our explicit measures include intra-racial resentment based on the National Election Studies four-item Racial Resentment Scale (Kinder and Sanders 1996; Sears and Kinder 1971) traditionally used to measure symbolic racism/racial resentment among whites. We also control for old-fashioned anti-black stereotypes based on respondent views of blacks as being unintelligent. Further, we constructed a linked-fate variable from an item that asked whether black respondents believe what happened to blacks as a whole had an impact on their individual life. In addition, we used standard measures to control for ideology (liberal to conservative), party identification (Democrat), age, income, education, and gender.

RESULTS

Model 1 predicts opposition to special government efforts for minorities. Based on these findings, the intra-racial

resentment coefficient is statistically significant ($p < 0.01$). However, in this model, two implicit racial attitude measures outperform the Intra-Racial Resentment Scale in absolute magnitude. Implicit black identification and pro-black subliminal priming scores significantly reduce opposition to government efforts on behalf of blacks (the former at $p < 0.05$, the latter, albeit with a larger absolute logit coefficient, only at marginal levels of significance: $p \approx 0.064$).

Turning to model 2, implicit black identification and pro-black subliminal priming are found to have marginally statistically significant impact on students' pride in Obama ($p \approx 0.068$ and $p \approx 0.08$, respectively). The Intra-Racial Resentment Scale exerts a significantly negative influence on pride in Obama ($p < 0.05$) while identifying as a Democrat significantly boosts it ($p < 0.01$). In summary, implicit racial attitude measures prove to significantly influence opposition for government efforts for minorities and marginally explain pride toward Obama. The Linked-Fate variable failed to achieve statistical significance in either model. Although the IAT is much more common in the extant literature, the variable's coefficient failed to reach statistical significance in either model.

CONCLUSION

We found that implicit black identification and subliminal priming influenced opinions on government efforts for blacks and other minorities as well as, at marginal levels of significance, pride in Obama. We feel confident reporting marginally significant results for pride in Obama since finding any implicit effects in our study is remarkable for two reasons. First, implicit measures are associated with extraordinary amounts of random error, making it extremely difficult to detect any significant impact of an implicit variable on an explicit survey question. This is why early research on implicit attitudes had reached a consensus that explicit and implicit attitudes were intrinsically "dissociated" (e.g., Wilson et al., 2000) until larger samples and more sophisticated statistical methods provided evidence of statistical association.

Second, in black samples the variance in dependent variables associated with racial issues is often limited. For example, 63.2% of black respondents in our study supported government efforts on behalf of blacks and other minorities, and 85.3% reported feeling pride in Obama. This leaves relatively little variance in the dependent variable to be explained and reduces the likelihood that any independent variable will emerge statistically significant. Given these problems, the failure of the IAT to reach statistical significance should not discourage its use and the predictive success of the other implicit measures provides evidence that the use of implicit attitude measures should be broadened to explore Black political opinion. Additionally, it suggests a utility beyond cases

where social desirability pressures lead respondents to hide their white supremacist biases.

Rather than providing clear answers our results point to how much we do not yet understand about the nature of implicit racial attitudes. The failure of the discipline to sufficiently include people of color in its samples has left a gaping hole in the literature that we hope future studies will soon fill. Finally, given the dearth of data available to examine implicit attitudes among blacks, we hope that scholars will increasingly turn to HBCUs as a potential source for subject pools.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by an National Science Foundation grant, SES-0905629. ■

NOTE

1. According to a report by the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES 2007), the undergraduate student body at California State University–Northridge is 59% minority (p. C-33) and that of Texas A&M International University (TAMU) 93.6% (p. C-44).

REFERENCES

- Coats, S., E. R. Smith, H. M. Claypool, and M. J. Banner. 2000. "Overlapping Mental Representations of Self and In-Group: Reaction Time Evidence and its Relationship with Explicit Measures of Group Identification." *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology* 36, 304–15.
- Craemer, T. 2008. "Nonconscious Feelings of Closeness toward African Americans and Support for Pro-Black Policies." *Political Psychology* 29 (3): 407–36.
- Craemer, T. 2010. "Possible Implicit Mechanisms of Minority Representation." *Political Psychology* 31 (6): 797–829.
- Davis, Darren. 1997. "The Direction of Race of the Interviewer Effects among African-Americans: Donning the Black Mask." *American Journal of Political Science* 309–22.
- De Clercq, A., G. Crombez, A. Buysse, and H. Roeyers. 2003. "A Simple and Sensitive Method to Measure Timing Accuracy." *Behavior Research Methods, Instruments, & Computers* 35 (1): 109–15.
- Greenwald, A. G., D. E. McGhee, and J. L. K. Schwarz. 1998. "Measuring Individual Differences in Implicit Cognition: The Implicit Association Test." *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 74 (6): 1464–80.
- Kinder, D. R., and L. M. Sanders. 1996. *Divided by Color: Racial Politics and Democratic Ideals*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- National Center for Education Statistics (NCES). 2007. "Characteristics of Minority-Serving Institutions and Minority Undergraduates Enrolled in These Institutions." US Department of Education, *National Center for Education Statistics* 2008-156. Source: <http://nces.ed.gov/pubs2008/2008156.pdf> (viewed 4/4/2012).
- Orey, Byron D'Andra, Athena M. King, Leniece Titani-Smith, and Boris Ricks. 2012. "Black Support for Racial Policies and the Double Consciousness Thesis." *The Journal of Race and Policy* 8: 52–66.
- Price, Melanye T. 2009. *Dreaming Blackness: Black Nationalism and African American Public Opinion*. New York: New York University Press.
- Sears, D. O., and D. R. Kinder. 1971. "Racial Tensions and Voting in Los Angeles." In *Los Angeles: Viability and Prospects for Metropolitan Leadership*, ed. W. Z. Hirsch, 51–88. New York: Praeger.
- Wilson, T. D., S. Lindsey, and T. Y. Schooler. 2000. "A Model of Dual Attitudes." *Psychological Review* 107: 101–26.